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The Holy Alliance Project Description

The Holy Alliance Project/Program seeks to develop a strong alliance among priests and physician members of the Catholic Medical Association. The unity of faith and reason is under direct assault in our world today. As Catholics, we acknowledge with certainty that the truths of science and the truths of the Faith have one and the same Source. There can never be a conflict between faith and reason. The controversial moral issues of our day all have a medical or bioethical component. Our priests and faithful Catholic physicians must join forces to counter the false claims and seductive arguments that our secularized culture is using to advance the bifurcation of faith and reason.

Just as medical professionals need the on-going moral guidance of their spiritual Fathers and shepherds, so also our priests have a need to be updated on the science behind the major moral medical issues of the day. Our priests must confidently speak to their flocks about issues such as the medical dangers of oral contraceptives and the science and success of NaProTechnology in dealing with infertility. The promotion of the misguided Advanced Directive/POLST by our society is one example of an area where our priests need to be well informed. When counseling those who come to them, our priests must be able to respond to those who have been told by a secular doctor that an immoral medical procedure is the “only option” available to them.
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Natural Family Planning

Case Study: A couple that a priest is preparing for marriage are living together and using birth control pills for contraception. After coming to understand the Church's teaching on marriage through the priest’s counseling, they decide to live separately and chastely until marriage. They also want to learn about natural family planning and turn to the priest for further resources.

Natural family planning, or simply “NFP,” is a holistic and healthy way of planning families. It includes the ability to monitor fertility, and to modify behaviors according to the intention of either achieving or avoiding pregnancy. When used properly, husband and wife share in the responsibility of knowing, understanding, and living with their combined fertility, instead of suppressing or destroying it. When couples understand and appreciate their fertility, they can then discern regularly whether to have or not have a baby, and accordingly adopt behaviors that will bring about those ends. NFP is more than just monitoring natural markers of fertility. NFP is linked to conjugal love and openness to new life.

Natural Indicators of Fertility
Natural family planning involves the ability to observe, interpret, and track naturally occurring signs of fertility. In this way, one can estimate the beginning, peak, and end of the six-day fertile window, which includes the day of ovulation and the five preceding days of sperm survival. The tracking of the natural signs of fertility has a certain flexibility, so as to be able to monitor the variability of that fertile window from month to month. For NFP to be effective and useful, women and couples need to be able to track fertility during the various stages of a woman's reproductive life such as the postpartum period; breastfeeding times; and the peri-menopause transitions. Many NFP methods provide this ability. The traditional natural signs of fertility tracked in some NFP methods have included basal body temperature elevation and changes in cervical mucus observations. Currently, in some newer NFP methods, changes in the woman's levels of estrogen and luteinizing hormone (both of which can help show when ovulation occurs) can also be measured with a urinary hormonal monitor, giving greater confidence in identifying the fertile window. Users and providers of NFP can also use calendar-based formulas, sometimes in combination with other markers of fertility, to estimate the fertile phase of the menstrual cycle.

Methods of NFP
The tracking of natural biological indicators of fertility has been used alone or in various combinations by health professionals and scientists for many years to develop useful natural methods of family planning. There are five basic methods of NFP:

1. The Calendar Method - relies on counting previous cycle length and a simple formula to determine the beginning and end of fertility.
2. Basal Body Temperature (BBT) - recording of the woman’s daily waking temperature and observing the changing patterns.
3. The Ovulation Method (OM) - observing and recording the patterns and changes of cervical fluids.
4. The Sympto-thermal Method (STM) - combining daily waking temperature, changes in cervical fluid, cycle length, and other signs of fertility.
5. **Hormonal monitoring (HM)** – use of monitoring devices/technology to monitor urinary metabolites of female hormones, to estimate the fertile phase.

The term “natural family planning” usually refers to the latest methods of NFP, such as the Ovulation Method (OM), the Creighton Model OM (CrM) system, the Sympto-thermal Method (STM), and the Marquette Model Hormonal method (MM). Simplified methods include the Standard Days Method (SDM), a calendar-based method utilizing data on probability of conception on particular days of the cycle, and the Two-Day Method (TDM), which involves cervical mucus monitoring and two simple questions to determine fertility.

**Effectiveness of NFP Methods**

There are two effectiveness numbers often utilized for any method of family planning: (1) correct or perfect use of the method, and (2) typical or average use, when methods are not used consistently or according to instructions. The correct use rate ranges from 0–5% pregnancy rate, and the typical rate from 2–23%.

**Table of Perfect and Typical Use Unintended Pregnancy Rates* per 100 Women Over 12 Months of Use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>NFP Method</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Cycle Length**</th>
<th>Perfect</th>
<th>Typical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WHO¹</td>
<td>Ovulation (OM)</td>
<td>Mucus</td>
<td>(25-32)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard, et al.²</td>
<td>Creighton (CrM)</td>
<td>Mucus</td>
<td>(25-32)**</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arevalo, et al.³</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td>Calendar</td>
<td>(26-32)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arevalo, et al.³</td>
<td>TDM</td>
<td>Mucus</td>
<td>(13-42)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European STM⁵</td>
<td>STM</td>
<td>Mucus/Temp</td>
<td>(25-35)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fehring, et al.⁶</td>
<td>Marquette (MM)</td>
<td>Mucus/monitor</td>
<td>(21-42)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fehring, et al.⁶</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Mucus/Temp/LH</td>
<td>(21-42)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fehring, et al.⁶</td>
<td>MM vs CrM</td>
<td>Mucus/monitor</td>
<td>(21-42)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fehring, et al.⁶</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Mucus/monitor</td>
<td>(21-42)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fehring, et al.¹⁰</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Monitor/Mucus</td>
<td>(21-42)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouchard, et al.¹¹</td>
<td>MM Postpartum</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fehring, et al.¹²</td>
<td>MM Perimenopause</td>
<td>Monitor/Mucus</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Range of length of menstrual cycles in study.

** Rate includes only those participants with regular cycle lengths from this study.

1. World Health Organization. “A Prospective Multicentre Trial of the Ovulation Method of Natural Family Planning. II. The Effectiveness Phase.”


### Additional Resources for the Laity

Why the Church Is Right About Life and Love

Promoting Humanae Vitae and Natural Family Planning in the Parish-Janet Smith
[http://www.lifeissues.net/writers/smith/smith_04hvandnfpinparishes.html](http://www.lifeissues.net/writers/smith/smith_04hvandnfpinparishes.html)

NFP Basic Information

Websites of Major NFP Programs:
Couple to Couple League International (CCL): [www.ccli.org](http://www.ccli.org)

Creighton Model and/or NaProTECHNOLOGY: [http://www.naprotechnology.com](http://www.naprotechnology.com); [http://www.popepaulvi.com](http://www.popepaulvi.com); [http://www.creightonmodel.com](http://www.creightonmodel.com); [http://www.unleashingthepower.info](http://www.unleashingthepower.info); and [http://www.drhilgers.com](http://www.drhilgers.com/)

Marquette University College of Nursing, Institute of NFP: [http://nfp.marquette.edu](http://nfp.marquette.edu)
Northwest Family Services: [www.nwfs.org](http://www.nwfs.org)

Georgetown Institute of Reproductive Health: [www.naturalfp.com](http://www.naturalfp.com) or [https://www.cyclebeads.com/](https://www.cyclebeads.com/)
Emergency Contraception or "The Morning After Pill"

Case Study: A parishioner calls her parish priest to say that her 19-year-old daughter was sexually assaulted on Saturday night at a party at her college. She went to the emergency room where they gave her, among other things, the “morning after pill,” also known as “Plan B.” Although she would not want her daughter to get pregnant as a result of a rape, this mother wonders if this drug might have caused the destruction of human life.

*The Ethical and Religious Directives for Catholic Health Care Services* in directive 36 shows the concern Catholic hospitals should take in protecting a victim of rape from possible consequences of the assault, including pregnancy, as long as the agent used is contraceptive (as opposed to being abortifacient or harming new life):

Compassionate and understanding care should be given to a person who is the victim of sexual assault. Health care providers should cooperate with law enforcement officials and offer the person psychological and spiritual support as well as accurate medical information. A female who has been raped should be able to defend herself against a potential conception from the sexual assault. If, after appropriate testing, there is no evidence that conception has occurred already, she may be treated with medications that would prevent ovulation, sperm capacitation, or fertilization, all of which would be contraceptive actions. It is not permissible, however, to initiate or to recommend treatments that have as their purpose or direct effect the removal, destruction, or interference with the implantation of a fertilized ovum.¹

Approximately 5% of women of childbearing age who are fertile and not using contraception at the time of a sexual attack will become pregnant as a result of the assault.²

The standard “emergency contraceptive” used in hospitals is Plan B or levonorgestrel (LNG-EC) 0.75 mg given within 120 hours (five days) of the sexual assault, and then repeated twelve hours later; or, alternatively, 1.5 mg given in a single dose.³ There are other possible regimens, utilizing other similar drugs; however, levonorgestrel/Plan B is the regimen most often used, so for the sake of this discussion, we will be referring to that drug and its known mechanisms of action.

The medical literature claims that the drug works primarily by preventing ovulation.⁴ Studies published over the past ten years have shown that it prevents ovulation consistently only if given at the start of the six-day fertile window within the woman’s monthly cycle.⁵ Such studies also show that the drug does not affect sperm motility or the ability to fertilize an egg; however, it can, depending on when it is given, prevent a clinically detectable pregnancy (i.e., it could have a

---

⁴ Ibid., 117–129.
⁵ Ibid.
harmful effect on new life). The drug also can cause a surge of progesterone at the wrong time in the woman's cycle, which can set off other events that could interfere with the survival of a new life or its successful implantation.

The St. Francis Medical Center’s “Peoria Protocol” for the administration of “emergency contraception” in emergency rooms in Catholic hospitals allows the administration of Plan B if the woman’s menstrual history and testing indicate she is in her monthly preovulatory phase. This is discerned by a negative urinary LH (luteinizing hormone) test and a serum (blood) progesterone level of less than 1.5 ng/ml. If the LH surge is positive, indicating the woman will ovulate in the next 24 to 36 hours, or the serum progesterone level is between 1.5 ng/ml and 5.9 ng/ml, then she is near ovulation and Plan B should not be given. If she is postovulatory with a serum progesterone level of 6 ng/ml or greater, the drug can be given because she is already postovulatory and there is no harm, in that phase of her cycle, in giving the drug. In this case, the patient is beyond her fertile window and possible conception, anyway.

Plan B has been found in research studies to actually not prevent ovulation or fertilization in most cases (i.e., it doesn’t have a reliable “contraceptive” effect). Likewise, it has been found to have a probable effect after fertilization, thereby preventing the survival of a new life. Therefore, this Peoria Protocol in actuality does not fit the criteria of the Ethical and Religious Directives’ n. 36.

Any other drug or device alternatively used as an “emergency contraceptive” that affects the hormonal events surrounding conception, such as Ella (which is similar to the medical abortion pill RU-486), or a double-dosage of birth control pills, have similar post-conception effects. Likewise, the insertion of an IUD as an “emergency contraceptive” would also prevent successful implantation. At the present time, there is no drug taken after a sexual assault that will not impact a developing human life.

Additional Resources for the Laity

FDA Makes Plan B Contraceptive Available to 15-Year-Olds

Study: Birth-Control Pill and Abortion Spike Breast-Cancer Risk

New 'Morning After' Pill Sells Abortion as Contraception
http://www.ncregister.com/blog/danielle-bean/new_morning_after_pill_sells_abortion_as_contraception

6 Ibid.
7 Ibid., 119.
8 Ibid.
9 Ibid., 117–129.
10 Ibid.
Reversal of Abortion Pill RU-486

Case Study: A few weeks ago, a local prolife doctor was called to aid a woman who had just taken RU-486 in order to have a medical abortion. Soon after taking the pill in the Planned Parenthood facility, she began to have second thoughts. She went online and found that the drug’s abortive action could still be stopped. She called the listed hotline for reversal of the drug, and was given potentially life-saving medicine to help her keep the pregnancy.

This scenario is going to be more common due to two facts: the increased availability of the drug RU-486, and the increasing scrutiny of surgical abortions and those who perform them.

What is RU-486?
The so-called French abortion pill, technically called Mifepristone, is a synthetic compound that acts as an anti-progesterone agent. Progesterone is a beneficial hormone during pregnancy, and enables and advances the pregnancy in the womb of the mother. If allowed to proceed unchecked, RU-486 will choke off the nutrients to the early placenta and thus kill the baby. It is usually given in an abortion facility, and then the woman is told to go home and, forty-eight hours later, to take a second drug called Misoprostol, for the purpose of starting contractions. These will then cause her body to expel the placenta and the now-dead baby.

How is RU-486 reversed?
As soon as possible after the RU-486 has been taken, the woman is given additional amounts of progesterone which is bioidentical (i.e., identical to her own naturally-occurring hormone), via injection, suppository, or oral pills. This will then flood her system with this good hormone, and drive out the effects of the poisonous compound. The amount of progesterone given is most concentrated at the outset, and must continue throughout the entire first trimester.

What if a woman regrets taking the RU-486?
She should immediately call 1-877-558-0333 or go to the website www.AbortionPillReversal.com, where she will be directed to the hotline. A pro-life doctor who is trained in the method of reversing the effects of the abortion pill will then be contacted and he/she will be in touch with the woman to guide her through the necessary steps to save her baby. To date, the success rate is approximately 60%. Higher rates can be expected with earlier administration of the reversal medicine. However, even if significant time has passed since ingesting the RU-486, it is still helpful to try the reversal. It should be noted that taking the second drug (Misoprostol) in the RU-486 abortion regimen can complicate matters, as this drug can cause fetal abnormalities.

When should a woman expect to be contacted by the doctor?
The network of pro-life doctors will get in touch with her as soon as possible, since administering the progesterone is of paramount importance. This network of professionals is growing, and it is hoped that there will be physicians, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants geographically close to any woman who wants the life-saving reversal regimen.

---

1In 2016, the FDA expanded RU-486’s use, from 7 weeks, to up to 10 weeks of pregnancy. See Catholic News Agency, “FDA Expands Abortion Pill to Allow Up to 10 Weeks” (Apr. 1, 2016), http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2016/apr/1/fdas-abortion-pill-expansion-targets-babies-up-to-/
What if the treatment is successful?
Early on, an ultrasound will be ordered to confirm that the baby is still alive. This reassures both doctor and patient that the treatment is having its beneficial effect. Continuation of the treatment lasts throughout the first trimester, to fourteen weeks. Periodic ultrasounds are useful throughout this process. It is known that taking RU-486 (the mifepristone part of the regimen) does not cause any birth defects for the baby that survives—nor does the taking of the larger doses of bioidentical progesterone for the reversal.

What if the treatment is not successful?
The purpose of the ultrasound is to affirm that the baby still has a heartbeat, but if this is not present, then it is likely that cramping and bleeding will occur within the following two weeks. The woman should watch for excessive bleeding, fever, or continuing pain. In that case, she should go to the emergency room for evaluation and treatment. If her blood type is Rh negative, then an injection called RhoGAM will be needed, regardless of whether she has complications. Longer-term psychological and spiritual effects in the woman due to abortion should also be treated, through post-abortion treatment programs such as Project Rachel or Rachel's Vineyard.

What if there are further questions?
Call the Abortion Pill Reversal (APR) Hotline: 1-877-558-0333 for more information on RU-486 reversal, or other issues concerning the medical handling of abortion. The nurses that staff the 24-hour hotline are an invaluable resource.

Additional Resources for the Laity

Abortion Pill Reversal
http://www.abortionpillreversal.com/

The Day I Performed the First-Ever RU-486 Abortion Reversal

Abortion Interrupted: Doctor Reverses Abortion Drug after Mom Changes Mind

Can RU-486 be Reversed?
http://www.heartbeatinternational.org/can-ru-486-be-reversed
Harms of Contraception

Case Study: A young woman with polycystic ovary syndrome consults her gynecologist about her irregular cycles, as she sometimes only has four periods a year. She asks about starting birth control pills to regulate her cycle. Her gyn is Catholic, does not prescribe contraceptives, and recommends instead that she be cycled on progesterone, which can help with her cycles. In addition, she has pre-diabetes, for which the doctor recommends metformin, due to the increased risk to her of “the Pill.” She wants to think about it and returns a year later, telling her physician she saw another gyn, was placed on oral contraceptives, and three months later suffered a blood clot in her lung from the birth control pill. Now she is ready to start a different treatment, perhaps the one originally suggested.

Fertility is a great good. One of the first biblical commands is to “be fruitful and multiply,” signifying that children are a prized and welcome blessing to marriages and society. Contraceptive pills, devices, and surgical procedures can attack the normally functioning reproductive system of the body, and can harm the virtues of chastity and temperance. The Church has consistently maintained that contraception is intrinsically evil, despite the high use of contraception in our society, often even by the members of the Church.1

Why is there such discordance between Church teaching and modern Catholic reproductive choices? One possibility is that Catholics just do not know that contraception can be harmful to themselves, their marriages, and to society at large. One of the best comprehensive resources on this matter is Janet Smith’s article/talk, “Contraception: Why Not?”2 Also, a pastoral letter discussing the destructive nature of contraception was written by Bishop James Conley entitled, “The Language of Love.”3 Of course, the encyclical Humanae vitae is short, easy to read, and remains prophetic in its dire predictions about the widespread use of contraception.

In modern times, our culture looks at fertility as something bad – something to be suppressed, mutilated, or destroyed. The child is considered an unwelcome intruder to be avoided at all costs. One example of this is that “emergency” contraception is now regularly available over the

1“Periodic continence, that is, the methods of birth regulation based on self-observation and the use of infertile periods, is in conformity with the objective criteria of morality. These methods respect the bodies of the spouses, encourage tenderness between them, and favor the education of an authentic freedom. In contrast, ‘every action which, whether in anticipation of the conjugal act, or in its accomplishment, or in the development of its natural consequences, proposes, whether as an end or as a means, to render procreation impossible’ [Humanae vitae, n. 14] is intrinsically evil: Thus the innate language that expresses the total reciprocal self-giving of husband and wife is overlaid, through contraception, by an objectively contradictory language, namely, that of not giving oneself totally to the other. This leads not only to a positive refusal to be open to life but also to a falsification of the inner truth of conjugal love, which is called upon to give itself in personal totality. …The difference, both anthropological and moral, between contraception and recourse to the rhythm of the cycle … involves in the final analysis two irreconcilable concepts of the human person and of human sexuality.”[Familiaris consortio, n. 32] From Catechism of the Catholic Church, n. 2370 (emphasis added), http://www.vatican.va/archive/ccc_css/archive/catechism/p3s2c2a6.htm.
counter in pharmacies, in case the use of regular contraception does not work to prevent the conception of a child. Studies show that the majority of women seeking abortions were also using some form of contraception in the months prior to becoming pregnant. St. John Paul II noted the relationship between contraception and abortion in his encyclical *Evangelium vitae*, and called them “fruits of the same tree.”

Medical risks of contraception are frequently compared to the risks associated with pregnancy, making the risks of pregnancy appear high, and the contraceptive risks appear relatively lower than they actually may be. However, the two should not really be compared, because pregnancy leads to the gift of a child, with his or her own inherent dignity and value; however, contraception has no associated moral good. Therefore, a more equitable comparison of risks would be between the use of contraception and NFP (Natural Family Planning), the latter which has no risks associated with its use.

What are the harms of contraception?

1. **Medical risks and harms of oral contraceptives (OCPs):**
   b. **Increased risk of breast cancer**: while some studies deny the persistent increased risk of breast cancer, other studies note a relative risk increase. Even small increased risks of cancer are important, as breast cancer is the most common female cancer, and about 1 in 8 women are expected to suffer from invasive breast cancer in her lifetime. Breastcancer.org, “U.S. Breast Cancer Statistics,” http://www.breastcancer.org/symptoms/understand_bc/statistics.
   d. **Increased risks of HPV (Human Papilloma Virus)** which is a sexually transmitted disease that is the most common cause of cervical cancer

2. **Sociological Effects:** Increase in casual, recreational sex
   b. Increase in “accidental pregnancy” and abortion

---

12 Smith, “Contraception: Why Not?”
c. Increase in single parenthood  
d. Increase in sexually transmitted diseases  
e. Increased cohabitation  
f. Increased divorce rates since the introduction of “the pill”  

(3) Environmental Effects:13  
a. Steroidal sex hormones which are used in oral contraceptive pills (OCPs) may enter the aquatic environment via wastewater effluents and feminize male fish.  
b. The accumulation and elimination of OCPs have environmental impact.  

Additional Resources for the Laity  
Bishop Conley: Contraception Disrupts the ‘Language of Love’  

What a Woman Should Know about Contraceptives  
http://m.catholicnewsagency.com/resource.php?n=268  

The High Cost of Free Contraceptives - Washington Times  

The HHS Mandate Ignores Health Risks Associated with Contraception: Alice’s Story  

What is NaProTECHNOLOGY?

NaProTECHNOLOGY (also known as “Natural Procreative Technology”) is an authentically Catholic approach to reproductive and gynecologic healthcare. Based on over thirty years of scientific research, NaProTECHNOLOGY is a medical system that monitors and maintains a woman’s gynecologic and reproductive health in a way that cooperates completely with her normal reproductive cycle. NaProTECHNOLOGY utilizes the Creighton Model FertilityCare™ System as the basis for monitoring a woman’s menstrual and fertility cycles. The Creighton Model is a standardized method by which a woman can observe and record on a chart daily changes in certain objective biomarkers of her cycle, such as external cervical mucus observations and bleeding patterns. In this way, a woman using the Creighton Model charting system can develop an understanding of the normal or abnormal functioning of her menstrual and fertility cycles. This chart then becomes the basis for a diagnostic evaluation whenever abnormalities arise.

NaProTECHNOLOGY treatments are aimed at three important areas of a woman’s health: gynecologic problems, infertility, and high-risk pregnancy. For each of these, NaProTECHNOLOGY provides healthy and effective treatments that do not rely on hormonal contraceptive pills or assisted reproductive technologies (such as in vitro fertilization) to artificially suppress or bypass gynecologic or reproductive problems. Instead, the Creighton Model and NaProTECHNOLOGY respect the dignity of each woman, and treatments are focused on addressing underlying issues, and restoring the normal physiologic functioning of a woman’s cycle. This leads to better gynecologic health and improved reproductive potential.

NaProTECHNOLOGY is used to successfully treat or cure:
- Infertility
- Endometriosis
- Dysmenorrhea (painful periods)
- Abnormal uterine bleeding
- Polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS)
- Recurrent miscarriage
- Premenstrual syndrome (PMS)
- Postpartum depression

The Hallmarks of Surgical NaProTECHNOLOGY include:
- Near-contact laparoscopy
- Complete excision of endometriosis with the CO₂ laser
- Laparoscopic ovarian wedge resection for women with infertility due to PCOS
- Laparoscopic tubal re-anastomosis (tubal ligation reversal)
- Specialized adhesion prevention techniques

How Does NaProTECHNOLOGY Evaluate and Treat Infertility?

As infertility is a symptom of an underlying disease — and not a disease in and of itself — NaProTECHNOLOGY seeks to diagnose and correct the underlying cause of a couple’s inability to conceive. With the abundant information provided by the Creighton Model charting system, a
A physician trained in NaProTECHNOLOGY can begin a thorough evaluation to diagnose the cause of infertility. Such an evaluation also may include hormone profile tests, diagnostic hysteroscopy and laparoscopy, endometrial and endocervical tissue sampling and cultures, and selective hysterosalpingograms (studying the functional integrity of the fallopian tubes).

NaProTECHNOLOGY treatments are then directed toward restoring the normal functioning of the menstrual and fertility cycles, both with medications and, when necessary, with surgery. With certain conditions such as endometriosis, pelvic adhesive disease, and PCOS, NaProTECHNOLOGY offers a unique set of surgical interventions to effectively treat or correct a variety of medical conditions leading to infertility. One of the most exciting aspects of medical and surgical NaProTECHNOLOGY is that the specialized treatments offered prove beneficial to the woman beyond the goal of achieving pregnancy — they correct underlying conditions, providing long-term health benefits.

There are health care professionals and teachers throughout the country who provide different levels of care utilizing the Creighton Model FertilityCare System and NaProTECHNOLOGY:

- **FertilityCare Practitioners**: trained instructors who teach women and couples how to chart their cycles using the Creighton Model FertilityCare System to achieve or avoid pregnancy.
- **FertilityCare Medical Consultants**: physicians, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, or nurse midwives who are trained to evaluate and treat women using the medical aspects of NaProTECHNOLOGY (such as hormone replacement timed according to the Creighton Model chart).
- **NaProTECHNOLOGY surgeons**: obstetricians/gynecologists who have completed a 1-year fellowship training program in medical and surgical NaProTECHNOLOGY. This select group of physicians (less than 20 worldwide currently) provides both medical and surgical treatments for the many conditions, which cause infertility, high-risk pregnancy or other gynecologic problems.


### Additional Resources for the Laity

**NaPro Technology: Moral and Better than In Vitro - Catholic Culture**
http://www.catholicculture.org/culture/library/view.cfm?recnum=7810

**Understanding Infertility: A Catholic Perspective**
http://www.catholicdigest.com/articles/family/marriage_relationships/2013/02-25/understanding-infertility-a-catholic-perspective
Hope for infertility: ‘Infertile’ couple gives birth thanks to cutting edge natural treatment
Do You Know Roe?

Roe and Doe legalized abortion through all nine months of pregnancy

Many people don’t realize that Roe v. Wade legalized abortion through all nine months of pregnancy. Roe says abortions may not be restricted at all during the first three months and in the second three months may be regulated only for the mother’s health. After “viability” Roe allows abortion to be prohibited but must make an exception for the woman’s life or health.

But in Roe’s companion case, Doe v. Bolton, the Court defined “health” to include “all factors—physical, emotional, psychological, familial, and the woman’s age—relevant to the well-being” of the mother. In most states, that is broad enough to permit virtually any abortion in the seventh, eighth, or ninth months of pregnancy if any of these reasons is invoked.

If Roe is overturned, the democratic process—not the courts—will determine abortion policy

Before Roe, all states permitted abortion if necessary to save the mother’s life, and some permitted abortion in additional circumstances. But Roe deemed any prohibition on abortion as unconstitutional.

If Roe is overturned, policy decisions about abortion will be made by the citizens of each state through the democratic process, rather than by courts. Some states will place limits on abortion, in others there will likely be few limits.

Not until Roe v. Wade is reversed will the people again be able to govern themselves on the important public policy issue of abortion.

Abortion is not health care

Abortion advocates speak as if abortion is health care, a procedure that is morally and emotionally equivalent to surgically removing one’s tonsils or appendix. It is often conveyed as so morally neutral that only a few religious outliers find it objectionable. Yet in reality, the vast majority, over 85%, of OB/GYNs, coming from many faiths or no faith refuse to be associated with or perform an abortion. In addition, according to the pro-abortion Guttmacher Institute, 86% of hospitals were not involved in abortion. Finally, even Roe acknowledges that abortion is unlike other procedures performed by a health professional and that unborn children deserve some protection. Abortion is not health care and we do a disservice to women and health care providers to pretend it is.
**Roe’s extreme abortion license is not widely supported**

Abortion advocates claim that *Roe* enjoys broad public support and some recent polls seem to provide evidence for this claim. But most polls don’t explain *Roe’s* extreme abortion license and some misrepresent it. For example, a 2016 Pew Research Center poll claims 69% of Americans favor *Roe v. Wade* and 28% oppose it. But the poll wrongly describes *Roe* as establishing “a woman’s constitutional right to an abortion, at least in the first three months of pregnancy.” The fact is, *Roe* made abortion legal through all 9 months of pregnancy and for virtually any reason.

The vast majority of Americans oppose the policy of nearly unlimited abortion dictated by *Roe*, and most believe abortion should not be legal for the reasons it is most often performed. A May 2018 Gallup poll shows that 65% of Americans said abortion should be illegal in the second trimester and 81% said abortion should be illegal in the last trimester. A 2018 Marist poll shows that 51% of women said abortion should never be permitted (9%) or permitted only in cases of rape, incest, and to save the woman’s life (42%).

So why do polls show a majority of Americans favoring *Roe v. Wade*? Because they don’t really know what *Roe* did.

**Roe is bad constitutional law**

Even legal experts who support abortion believe *Roe* is not well-reasoned and is a case of extreme judicial overreach.

- The late Yale Law Professor John Hart Ely said, *Roe v Wade* is “a very bad decision . . . because it is not constitutional law and gives almost no sense of an obligation to try to be.”

- Attorney Edward Lazarus, former law clerk to *Roe’s* author, Justice Blackmun, put it this way: “As a matter of constitutional interpretation and judicial method, *Roe* borders on the indefensible . . . [It is] one of the most intellectually suspect constitutional decisions of the modern era.”

- Harvard Law Professor Lawrence Tribe criticized *Roe* saying, “behind its own verbal smokescreen, the substantive judgment on which it rests is nowhere to be found.”

- Justice Sandra Day O’Connor said, “The Court’s abortion decisions have already worked a major distortion in the Court’s Constitutional jurisprudence . . . no legal rule or doctrine is safe from ad hoc nullification by this Court.”

- And then-Circuit Judge (now Justice) Ruth Bader Ginsburg said *Roe* “ventured too far in the change it ordered and presented an incomplete justification for its action.”

When experts on both sides of the abortion debate agree that *Roe* is bad law, reversing it makes good legal sense and would return abortion policy back to the people to be decided through the democratic process.
Pro-life laws can and do reduce the abortion rate

Most people on both sides of the abortion debate agree that reducing the number of abortions is a desirable outcome. While some argue that contraception is the key to reducing the abortion rate, real-world evidence does not back that up. Instead, research shows that even when women were provided with free “emergency contraception” ahead of time, the pregnancy and abortion rate remained statistically equivalent with those who were not provided with it. In fact, the availability of contraception and abortion can increase the rate of unintended pregnancies (as well as sexually transmitted infections) as studies show that people engage in more frequent and riskier behavior if they believe their risk has been lowered. On the other hand, evidence suggests that laws restricting the funding of abortion (like the Hyde amendment preventing Medicaid funds from going to abortion) or limiting its availability, involving parents, and providing women with more information lower the rate of abortion. Unfortunately, Roe, its companion case Doe, and some subsequent rulings have been used to invalidate many laws meant to lower the rate of abortion.

Abortion fails women

Abortion is often portrayed as essential for women to achieve freedom and equality with men, yet many report feeling some degree of pressure or aborting to please someone else—often their partner. Further, after the abortion, many women report feelings of depression, suicidal or self-harm inclinations, sadness, shame, and regret. For example, Cynthia Carney in an amicus brief submitted to the Supreme Court described the aftermath of her abortion saying, “For 23 years, I went into crying spells, depression, suicidal thoughts. Emotionally it devastated me.” Camelia Murphy explained, “I have suffered with low self-esteem, self-hatred, suicidal impulses, constant anxiety (especially about sex and about making decisions).” Donna Razin said that her abortion caused her “[d]eep regret—initially I was suicidal—as the years have progressed I have developed a heightened level of bitterness and anger and self-hate.” Women would be better served if society tried to creatively answer the needs of single mothers, mothers trying to get through school, mothers needing higher or more stable finances, etc. rather than telling them that the death of their children is the best answer. We can and should do better for all women.

Abortion stops a beating heart

Abortion advocates usually refer to the human being growing in her/his mother’s womb in dehumanizing terms like “product of conception” and suggest that most abortions are done before fetal organs are functioning.

Actually, the vast majority are done after the fetal heart has begun beating. A baby’s heart begins to beat at about 21 or 22 days after fertilization. That’s at about 3 weeks of development. The vast majority of abortions in the United States are done well after this point.

Chief strategist for legalizing abortion lied about deaths from illegal abortions

Claims that thousands of women were dying from illegal abortions at the time of Roe were fabricated for political purposes. The late Dr. Bernard Nathanson, a chief strategist for legalizing
abortion, said he and his associates invented the “nice, round shocking figure” of “5,000 to 10,000 deaths a year” from illegal abortions:

*I confess that I knew the figures were totally false, and I suppose the others did too if they stopped to think of it. But in the “morality” of our revolution, it was a useful figure, widely accepted, so why go out of our way to correct it with honest statistics?*  

Research confirms that the actual number of maternal deaths resulting from abortion in the 25 years prior to 1973 averaged 250 a year, with a high of 388 in 1948. In 1966, before the first state legalized abortion, 120 mothers died from abortion. While any death is a tragedy, by 1972, when abortion was still illegal in 80 percent of the country, the number dropped to 39 maternal deaths from abortion.

Furthermore, a groundbreaking 2012 study of abortion in Chile published in a peer-reviewed scientific journal found that Chile’s abortion prohibition in 1989 did not cause an increase in the maternal mortality rate (MMR). On the contrary, after abortion was prohibited, the MMR decreased by 69.2% in the following fourteen years.

---

1 In the first trimester, “[T]he abortion decision . . . must be left to the medical judgment of the pregnant woman’s attending physician.” In the second trimester, the State may “regulate the abortion procedure in ways that are reasonably related to maternal health.” *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113 (1973) at 164.

2 “[T]hat is, potentially able to live outside the mother’s womb, albeit with artificial aid.” *Roe*, at 160.

3 After viability, the State may “proscribe” abortion “except where it is necessary, in appropriate medical judgment, for the preservation of the life or health of the mother.” *Roe*, at 164–65.

4 *Doe v. Bolton*, 410 U.S. 179 (1973) at 192. The “Doe v. Bolton ... opinion and this one, of course, are to be read together.” *Roe*, at 165.

5 In *Planned Parenthood v. Casey*, the Court abandoned the trimester framework but reaffirmed the legality of abortion “subsequent to viability” for the “preservation of the . . . health of the mother.” 505 U.S. 833 (1992) at 879.

6 The Supreme Court, however, has yet to be confronted with a challenge to a post-viability ban that will test *Doe*’s breadth. Indeed, 20 states currently ban late-term abortions subject to a narrow exception for the mother’s life or physical health (not for emotional, psychological, familial, or age-related reasons). Most of these laws have gone unchallenged, but they are hard to enforce even if they are constitutionally permissible.


11 “[T]he right of privacy, however based, is broad enough to cover the abortion decision; that the right, nonetheless, is not absolute and is subject to some limitations; and that at some point the state interests as to protection of health, medical standards, and prenatal life, become dominant . . . . The pregnant woman cannot be isolated in her privacy. She carries an embryo and, later, a fetus . . . . The situation therefore is inherently different from [other situations where the Court has recognized a constitutional right of privacy, such as] marital intimacy, or bedroom possession of obscene material, or marriage, or procreation, or education.” (Emphasis added) *Roe*, at 155 and 159.

13 See supra notes 1–5.


30 Ibid, 42.


32 Ibid.

Planned Parenthood: Setting the Record Straight

In 2015, a series of undercover videos showed officials of the Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA) discussing how they perform abortions and traffic in the tissues and organs of abortion victims. The officials’ matter-of-fact comments on destroying unborn human life, and on altering abortion methods to obtain more “intact” organs, have led to a public debate on Planned Parenthood’s role as a “women’s health” organization receiving large government subsidies. Here are key facts.

1. PPFA is the largest abortion provider in the U.S.
In 2015-2016, the last year reported, Planned Parenthood affiliates performed 328,348 abortions, both surgical and “medical” (using the abortion drug RU-486).1 PPFA’s share of the abortion “market” has expanded steadily over the years: It performed about one in five of all abortions in the United States in 2005, but now performs over a third.2

2. Every Planned Parenthood affiliate must perform abortions.
In 2010, PPFA announced that by 2013 every affiliate must have one or more clinics that perform abortions on-site. A few affiliates left PPFA rather than comply with the new abortion mandate.3 In a fundraising email, PPFA’s CEO Cecile Richards said it would be “obscene and insulting” to discontinue its abortion business in order to continue receiving taxpayer funding.4

3. Planned Parenthood provides almost 26 times more abortions than birth-oriented services.
While PPFA says abortions make up 3% of its services, this is misleading. PPFA says it served 2.4 million patients (women and men) and performed 328,348 abortions, indicating that nearly 14% of everyone entering a Planned Parenthood clinic receives an abortion. And PPFA provided only 9,419 “prenatal services” (down from 17,610 in 2014) and 2,889 referrals for adoptions at other agencies. So 96% of its services for pregnant women are abortions, outnumbering other options over 26 to 1.5

4. Planned Parenthood promotes risky RU-486 abortions that have killed young women.
PPFA strongly supports the dangerous abortion drug RU-486, promoted its expedited approval by the FDA, and volunteered to conduct early U.S. trials. In early trials, young Californians Holly Patterson and Vivian Tran died from infections after RU-486 abortions at Planned Parenthood clinics.6 In April 2011, the FDA reported 2,207 adverse events up to that time, including 14 deaths, 339 cases of blood loss requiring transfusions, and (in addition to deaths) 612 hospitalizations.7 Actual figures are likely higher, as the FDA doesn't mandate reporting by providers. PPFA clinics flouted FDA protocols by, among other things, using RU-486 “off-label” for abortions up to 63 days after a woman's last menstrual period (two weeks later than the FDA found safe). When Ohio passed a law requiring clinics to follow FDA guidelines, Planned Parenthood sued to tie up the law in court; public data later showed 42 botched RU-486 abortions in Ohio, including 35 women who had to return for a surgical abortion.8 Despite these deaths and other adverse events, in 2016 the Obama administration changed the FDA protocol to match what PPFA had been doing without authorization.9

5. Planned Parenthood fights even modest laws to reduce or regulate abortions.
PPFA has opposed, and filed suit against, reasonable and widely supported measures on abortion, even those protecting women's health and informed decision making. These include:
- laws to ensure a woman’s informed consent, allow her to view an ultrasound before the abortion, or provide a 24-hour waiting period for her to consider her decision
- parental notification or consent before a minor daughter's abortion
- bans on the gruesome partial-birth abortion procedure
- health and safety regulations for abortion facilities
- requiring abortion practitioners to have admitting privileges at a local hospital in case of complications
- safety standards for the abortion drug RU-486.

6. Planned Parenthood doesn't believe in a “right to choose” against abortion.
   “Freedom of choice” does not apply to those who disagree with PPFA. It opposes laws recognizing conscience rights for doctors, nurses and health facilities with moral or religious objections to abortion, dismissively referring to conscience clauses as “refusal clauses.” Planned Parenthood strongly supports U.S. funding of the U.N. Population Fund (UNFPA); in recent years it has announced “a new level of partnership” with that agency internationally, despite its involvement in the Chinese population program using coerced abortion and involuntary sterilization. Such coercion is recognized internationally as a crime against women. PPFA also opposes conscience rights for pharmacists who object to providing “emergency contraception” drugs due to their abortifacent potential, and thinks even religious orders like the Little Sisters of the Poor should be forced to include these in their health plans.

7. Planned Parenthood is not “pro-choice” for women.
   In light of the failure of contraceptive programs to reduce unintended pregnancies or abortions, Planned Parenthood has increasingly promoted “LARCs” (long-acting reversible contraceptives)—implantables, injectables, and intrauterine devices—that can sterilize women for months or years at a time. Most women have rejected these methods in the past due to their inflexibility and side effects. But supporters favor them for “eliminating adherence and user dependence from the effectiveness equation”—that is, LARCs disregard a woman's own changing reproductive goals, and cannot be discontinued without medical assistance. PPFA has even abandoned “pro-choice” as a slogan, insisting instead that contraception and abortion are basic "health care" that all women need access to (whether women ask for that or not).

8. Planned Parenthood’s role in serving women’s health is compromised at best, and is better taken over by others.
   Planned Parenthood’s supporters cite its “cervical and breast cancer screenings”—but its heavily promoted contraceptive services, 30% of PPFA’s activities, are associated with an increased risk of breast and cervical cancer. Planned Parenthood’s “screening” for breast cancer is a preliminary screen that a woman can do for herself—it offers no mammograms or biopsies. PPFA emphasizes its testing and treatment of sexually transmitted diseases, but it heavily promotes contraceptive methods that may increase women’s risk of contracting STDs, including AIDS. Women’s comprehensive health needs are much better served by community health centers and other federally qualified health centers, which serve over 24 million patients in both urban and rural areas and outnumber Planned Parenthood clinics 15 to 1 (9,754 to “nearly 650”).

9. “Nonprofit” Planned Parenthood reaps enormous revenues, including tax revenues.
   PPFA is legally a nonprofit organization but takes in enormous revenues: $1.35 billion in the year ending June 30, 2016, netting $77.5 million over expenses. $554.6 million, or 41% of total revenue, is from taxpayers’ dollars. This is a sizeable increase from the $305.3 million in government contracts received in the year ending June 30, 2006. And this increase occurred while Planned Parenthood’s U.S. clientele decreased from a reported 3 million to 2.4 million people of both genders.

10. Even as Planned Parenthood’s government funding has increased, the number of medical services it provides has decreased—but not abortions.
    From 2004 to 2015, Planned Parenthood has reported a dramatic decrease in the following: Pap tests (down 75%), breast exams (65%), total cancer screenings (69%), and even contraception/sterilization by any method (18%). By contrast, abortions have increased by 29% since 2004. There has been a clear shift
in the kinds of services Planned Parenthood provides, away from the many other kinds of services it
boasts of and toward abortion.

11. Planned Parenthood promotes risky “emergency contraception” to minors.
PPFA promotes over-the-counter sales of high-dose “emergency contraceptive” (EC) pills, even to minors
below the age of 15, although lower-dose birth control pills require a prescription due to health
risks. Planned Parenthood’s claim that programs boosting access to ECs would reduce unintended
pregnancies and abortions has been rebutted by numerous studies.

12. Planned Parenthood has promoted abortions worldwide, even where it is illegal.
PPFA exports its ideology to developing nations, promoting abortion as family planning. As long ago as
1983, the then-current president of PPFA co-authored and signed a notorious International Planned
Parenthood Federation (IPPF) declaration urging affiliates to violate their own countries’ laws and
perform illegal abortions: “Family Planning Associations and other non-governmental organizations
should not use the absence of a law or the existence of an unfavourable law as an excuse for inaction;
action outside the law, and even in violation of it, is part of the process of stimulating change.”

8/11/2017

---


As with all issues where science and morality meet, the Catholic church provides a clear and consistent message regarding the use of assisted reproductive technologies (such as artificial insemination and *in vitro* fertilization) that are marketed to help infertile couples achieve pregnancy. Such technologies are a direct violation of the sanctity of the marriage bond and the dignity of the human person. The Catechism explains:

> Techniques involving only the married couple (homologous artificial insemination and fertilization) are perhaps less reprehensible [than heterologous artificial insemination and fertilization], yet remain morally unacceptable. They dissociate the sexual act from the procreative act. The act which brings the child into existence is no longer an act by which two persons give themselves to one another, but one that “entrusts the life and identity of the embryo into the power of doctors and biologists and establishes the domination of technology over the origin and destiny of the human person. Such a relationship of domination is in itself contrary to the dignity and equality that must be common to parents and children. “Under the moral aspect, procreation is deprived of its proper perfection when it is not willed as the fruit of the conjugal act, that is to say, of the specific act of the spouses’ union…. Only respect for the link between the meanings of the conjugal act and respect for the unity of the human being make possible procreation in conformity with the dignity of the person.”

*In vitro* fertilization (IVF) stands out as being the most egregious violation of the sanctity of the marriage bond and the dignity of the human person. With IVF, a woman is given medications which hyper-stimulate her ovaries to produce multiple follicles with mature oocytes (eggs). In a standard cycle of IVF, ten to twenty oocytes are harvested through a surgical procedure. Each oocyte is then fertilized with a man’s sperm in a Petri dish or through a process called ICSI (intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection), in which a sperm is injected directly into the oocyte. Within hours, fertilization is complete when the DNA from the oocyte and the sperm combine to create a genetically distinct cell called the zygote. Scientists agree that the zygote is a single-celled embryo. Biologically speaking, this embryo is a new human being with a set of forty-six genetically unique chromosomes. After fertilization, the individual cells of the embryo divide every twelve to fourteen hours, and the embryo reaches eight cells after three days. One to three embryos are then transferred to the woman’s uterus several days after the oocytes were harvested.

Despite the intricate technology involved in IVF, each cycle of IVF only has a 25-35% chance of achieving successful pregnancy. Additionally, as reported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), pregnancies resulting from IVF are thirteen times more likely to result in twins, triplets, and higher-order multiples, leading to high-risk pregnancies which are more likely to result in preterm birth and other complications. A study from the New England Journal of Medicine also found that babies conceived with ICSI or IVF have twice the risk of major birth defects compared to babies conceived naturally.

---

1Catechism of the Catholic Church, n. 2377; internal quotation is from Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith, *Donum vitae*, n. II, 4.

Also extremely unsettling is that IVF treatments require the creation of ten to twenty embryos for each infertile couple, despite the fact that only one to three embryos are used per IVF cycle. The majority of the embryos will either be placed in cryopreservation indefinitely, or simply discarded, leading to a tremendous loss of human life.

Because assisted reproductive technologies are the treatments most uniformly offered to infertile couples, it is important for Catholic couples to understand that the Church’s prohibition of such technologies does not mean that the Church has abandoned them in their struggle with infertility. On the contrary, there are many excellent treatments (such as those provided by NaProTECHNOLOGY), which are morally licit and not contrary to the dignity of the human person or the dignity of marriage. Fortunately, such treatments (which happen to be more effective and far less expensive than IVF) provide a cure to the underlying conditions causing infertility, and also often promote overall long-term health.

**Additional Resources for the Laity**

10 Things You Really Need to Know About IVF before Using It – Aleteia

New Tests for Five-Day-Old Embryos Raise Pro-Life Concerns – Aleteia
http://aleteia.org/2014/07/15/new-tests-for-five-day-old-embryos-raise-pro-life-concerns/

IVF's Tarnished Halo
http://www.all.org/-ivfs-tarnished-halo/

Further information on NaProTECHNOLOGY can be found at
http://www.naprotechnology.com; http://www.popepaulvi.com;
http://www.creightonmodel.com/; http://www.unleashingthepower.info/; and

Women can find a NaProTECHNOLOGY medical consultant or surgeon near them by visiting
Ectopic Pregnancy

Case Study: E.P. and her husband call their parish priest, as they have just learned she has a pregnancy in her fallopian tube, instead of in the uterus, at six weeks past her last period. They would like to get advice on how to treat her in a morally good manner. Her gynecologist is offering her a drug treatment for the ectopic pregnancy, to make the pregnancy “dissolve,” rather than having a surgery. However, they have seen the heartbeat of the baby by ultrasound, and they are not sure what to do.

Ectopic pregnancy is defined as a pregnancy wherein the baby has implanted outside the normal location of the uterus, usually in the fallopian tube. These pregnancies are rarely viable (able to grow outside the womb). They can cause significant harm to the woman as the pregnancy can rupture, which can lead to severe internal bleeding, and even death, if undetected. They account for 2% of all pregnancies and 6% of maternal deaths. They are the leading cause of maternal death in early pregnancy.¹ However, with the advent of vaginal probe ultrasounds and quantitative blood β-hCG (pregnancy hormone) testing, many of these pregnancies are diagnosed prior to rupture. Even at approximately six weeks of age, some of the embryos are alive with a heart beat within the fallopian tube.

There are four possible managements of ectopic pregnancy:

1. “Expectant” therapy; i.e., nothing is done, and the doctor and patient wait for the tubal pregnancy to resolve itself by miscarriage. If the woman is asymptomatic and has falling β-hCG levels that start out at less than 200 mIU/ml, then 88% of these patients will resolve without treatment.² This treatment is morally legitimate.

2. Surgical treatment: Removal of part (partial salpingectomy) or all (salpingectomy) of the fallopian tube, and, with it, the embryo. Morally permissible due to principle of double effect (see below).

3. Surgical Treatment: Direct removal/separation of the embryo from the affected bodily site (salpingostomy), while keeping that bodily site intact (usually, the fallopian tube). Not morally legitimate if the embryo is alive; direct killing of embryo.

4. Drug therapy with methotrexate. Not morally legitimate if embryo is alive; direct killing of embryo.

Note that, if there is evidence from testing (hormone testing, ultrasound, etc.) that the embryo is already deceased, then any acceptable medical or surgical treatment can be morally utilized. Therefore, when the patient presents with an ectopic pregnancy, testing should be performed to discern whether the embryo is alive. Sometimes, the case can be dire. Women who have a ruptured ectopic pregnancy (i.e., the fallopian tube or other organ where it’s located has burst) classically present in shock with severe abdominal pain, possible shoulder pain, some vaginal bleeding, and signs of acute blood loss secondary to internal bleeding.

² Ibid.
Removal of the portion of, or all of, the damaged fallopian tube where the ectopic pregnancy resides (i.e., number (2) listed above), even if a living embryo is present, is ethical under the principle of double effect. The action of removing a damaged part of the fallopian tube can be considered a good action, as it prevents further ectopic pregnancies in that tube, and saves the mother from internal bleeding and possible death. The bad effect of ending the embryo’s life with an indirect abortion is not intended. The good effect of saving the life of the mother can be considered a proportionately good reason for the act of salpingectomy. See also directive 47 of the Ethical and Religious Directives (ERDs).

Directive 48 of the ERDs also states: “In case of extrauterine pregnancy, no intervention is morally licit which constitutes a direct abortion.” A direct abortion is defined in the ERDs’ directive 45 as “the directly intended termination of pregnancy before viability or the directly intended destruction of a viable fetus.”

Two newer treatments (numbers (3) and (4) listed above) for a living ectopic pregnancy would attack the embryo or fetus directly. With a salpingostomy, the fallopian tube is surgically slit and the embryo is removed. With drug treatment with methotrexate, the embryo and its surrounding trophoblastic tissue are harmed chemically with the drug. Both are direct attacks on the embryo and would constitute abortions. These two treatments for ectopic pregnancies could only be used when there was certainty by serial blood tests and by ultrasound that the embryo was already deceased.

---

3 The principle of double effect “requires the following five components: (1) The action, in itself, must be good or at least not morally evil. (2) The good effect cannot be obtained in some other way without harm or evil. (3) The good effect must not be the result of an evil means, or, to put it another way, the evil act cannot be the means for producing the good effect. (4) The evil effect is not willed but merely permitted. (5) There is a proportionate reason for performing the action.” From Marie A. Anderson, Robert L. Fastiggi, David E. Hargroder, Rev. Joseph C. Howard Jr., and C. Ward Kischer, “Ectopic Pregnancy and Catholic Morality: A Response to Recent Arguments in Favor of Salpingostomy and Methotrexate,” The National Catholic Bioethics Quarterly, Spring 2011: 667–684; http://johnpaulbioethics.org/FinalProofs.pdf. This is also a good assessment of the topic, with numerous helpful resources.


5 Ibid., n. 48.

6 Ibid., n. 45.
The Treatment of Endometriosis

Case Study: A young woman undergoes a laparoscopy by a reproductive endocrinologist after five years of infertility, painful periods, and intestinal symptoms. She is found to have extensive endometriosis, involving the bowel and ovaries; however, her fallopian tubes are open. After removal of some of the endometriosis, she is placed on medication to suppress her periods, and a second more extensive surgery is planned. She is instead sent to a specialist in endometriosis surgery and undergoes a second laparoscopy, with removal of all the endometriosis. Within six months of the second surgery, she conceives and delivers a healthy baby boy at age 37.

Endometriosis is a disorder in which tissue that normally lines the uterus is growing outside the uterus. The main symptoms are often-debilitating pelvic pain (usually during menstruation), and sometimes pain with intercourse. It is also associated with infertility.

The disorder is an underdiagnosed, undertreated problem (it is thought to affect 1 in 10 women worldwide); there are well-documented delays in diagnosis of up to 12 years.4

Laparoscopy can be used to help treat endometriosis. This is a surgical procedure in which a small incision is made, usually in the navel, and a viewing tube (laparoscope) is inserted. The viewing tube has a small camera on the eyepiece, which allows the doctor to examine the abdominal and pelvic organs, and to visualize the diseased tissue. Laparoscopic surgery by an expert in the treatment of endometriosis can reduce the risk of adhesions or scar tissue, and has been shown to decrease pain and benefit fertility.5-7

Hormonal suppression (with birth control pills, or injections like Lupron that cause a chemical menopause), which is often prescribed as treatment by secular doctors, is temporary symptomatic treatment at best. Improvement of pain with such hormonal suppression does not help diagnose endometriosis.1,8 In fact, it often masks correct diagnosis of the issue. The addition of hormonal suppression to surgery also does not decrease recurrence rates of actual disease.9 The earlier in life one is given hormonal suppression for pelvic pain may be a marker for more advanced disease later in life.10-12 Hormonal suppression in truth has no role in treating (present or future) infertility.13

Optimally, surgical excision or removal of the disease (especially disease deep within abdominal tissues) is the best way to improve pain and quality of life, and to reduce recurrence rates.14-16

Early diagnosis and treatment may be the best way to prevent the development of deep or extensive disease and perhaps to preserve fertility, as endometriosis can progress over time.

Some advocate the development of centers of excellence for the (surgical) treatment of endometriosis.19,20 Expert recognition and treatment is needed for the best management of this disease.

REFERENCES
Catholic doctor brings endometriosis specialty to St. Louis
http://stlouisreview.com/article/2012-05-03/catholic-doctor

A moral alternative to treating infertility:

Women can find a physician, medical consultant, or NaProTechnology surgeon (i.e., a doctor who specializes in treating endometriosis) near them by visiting www.fertilitycare.org.

Further information on the treatment of endometriosis via NaProTechnology (a medical system that maintains a woman’s reproductive health in a way that cooperates completely with her normal reproductive cycle) can be found at http://www.naprotechnology.com; http://www.popepaulvi.com; http://www.creightonmodel.com; http://www.unleashingthepower.info; and http://www.drhilgers.com/
Reiki Healing and the New Age

Reiki healing is a form of "energy healing" through what is called "spiritually guided life force energy." Its origins are Japanese with an individual named Mikao Usui (1865-1926) who claimed to have developed a healing system based on Buddhist teachings written in Sanskrit. He suffered a massive stroke and died while instructing practitioners in Reiki. Those who practice Reiki claim this technique raises our "vibrational frequencies" as well as that of the world. They claim illness is due to an imbalance of one's flow of "life energy". Usui claimed a spiritual entity, Avalokiteshvara, gave him this technique and that this spirit is the guiding force behind reiki. Those who use Reiki are a "channel" for the "universal energy" to flow from the practitioner through the patient via palm healing. There is no scientific evidence that this universal energy exists and no scientific evidence that this pseudoscience is effective in treating any illness as compared to placebo in a systematic scientific review published in 2008.¹

The practitioner of Reiki undergoes an initiation by other Reiki guides to transfer this power from the Reiki Master to the student. During the "attunement process" sacred non-Christian symbols are used and one's crown, heart and palm "chakras," which are Hindu in origin, are opened. Other "spirits" are present during this process and the student may have a mystical experience, experience increased psychic sensitivity, increased intuitive awareness and an "opening of the third eye". Exorcists explain the third eye is the eye of the mind that sees into the spirit world. This facilitates contact with New Age "spirit guides" and "ascended masters".

All of this is divination and worship of false idols. Magical rites and rituals do not connect us with God but with demons. It is God who heals people by divine grace and by scientific methods. Healing comes from the use of conventional medicine and through the power of the Holy Spirit with the laying on of hands, intercessory prayer, the sacrament of the Anointing of the Sick and the Eucharist. Those who have been involved with Reiki should seek forgiveness in the Sacrament of Reconciliation.

Case: A 70 yo woman awakens on New Year's Day with significant shortness of breath. Upon arrival in the emergency room, studies are done which show she has a large blood clot in her right ventricle. Attempts to reach her cardiologist are unsuccessful and in the meantime her pastor is called to the emergency room to see her. He performs the Anointing of the Sick and then goes to the waiting room. Another cardiologist is reached and he arrives in the patient's room, reviews the ultrasound of her heart and says he will try a medication to break up the clot but he is not hopeful and this means certain death for her. He administers the medication through a vein while watching her heart under ultrasound. The large clot disappears before his eyes! The non-Christian cardiologist cries out this is a miracle because that should not have happened! The woman spends the next year telling everyone she comes in contact with that she experienced a miracle through the Anointing of the Sick.

For further reading on Reiki and other New Age practices please see references below.

GUIDELINES FOR EVALUATING REIKI AS AN ALTERNATIVE THERAPY

Committee on Doctrine
United States Conference of Catholic Bishops

1. From time to time questions have been raised about various alternative therapies that are often available in the United States. Bishops are sometimes asked, "What is the Church's position on such therapies?" The USCCB Committee on Doctrine has prepared this resource in order to assist bishops in their responses.

I. HEALING BY DIVINE GRACE AND HEALING BY NATURAL POWERS

2. The Church recognizes two kinds of healing: healing by divine grace and healing that utilizes the powers of nature. As for the first, we can point to the ministry of Christ, who performed many physical healings and who commissioned his disciples to carry on that work. In fidelity to this commission, from the time of the Apostles the Church has interceded on behalf of the sick through the invocation of the name of the Lord Jesus, asking for healing through the power of the Holy Spirit, whether in the form of the sacramental laying on of hands and anointing with oil or of simple prayers for healing, which often include an appeal to the saints for their aid. As for the second, the Church has never considered a plea for divine healing, which comes as a gift from God, to exclude recourse to natural means of healing through the practice of medicine.1 Alongside her sacrament of healing and various prayers for healing, the Church has a long history of caring for the sick through the use of natural means. The most obvious sign of this is the great number of Catholic hospitals that are found throughout our country.

---

1 See Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith, Instruction on Prayers for Healing (14 September 2000), I, 3: "Obviously, recourse to prayer does not exclude, but rather encourages the use of effective natural means for preserving and restoring health, as well as leading the Church's sons and daughters to care for the sick, to assist them in body and spirit, and to seek to cure disease."
3. The two kinds of healing are not mutually exclusive. Because it is possible to be healed by divine power does not mean that we should not use natural means at our disposal. It is not our decision whether or not God will heal someone by supernatural means. As the *Catechism of the Catholic Church* points out, the Holy Spirit sometimes gives to certain human beings "a special charism of healing so as to make manifest the power of the grace of the risen Lord."² This power of healing is not at human disposal, however, for "even the most intense prayers do not always obtain the healing of all illnesses."³ Recourse to natural means of healing therefore remains entirely appropriate, as these are at human disposal. In fact, Christian charity demands that we not neglect natural means of healing people who are ill.

II. REIKI AND HEALING

A) The Origins and Basic Characteristics of Reiki

4. Reiki is a technique of healing that was invented in Japan in the late 1800s by Mikao Usui, who was studying Buddhist texts.⁴ According to Reiki teaching, illness is caused by some kind of disruption or imbalance in one's "life energy." A Reiki practitioner effects healing by placing his or her hands in certain positions on the patient's body in order to facilitate the flow of Reiki, the "universal life energy," from the Reiki practitioner to the patient. There are numerous designated hand positions for addressing different problems. Reiki proponents assert that the practitioner is not the source of the healing energy, but merely a channel for it.⁵ To become a Reiki practitioner, one must receive an "initiation" or "attunement" from a Reiki Master. This

---

² *Catechism*, no. 1508.
³ *Catechism*, no. 1508.
⁴ It has also been claimed that he merely rediscovered an ancient Tibetan technique, but evidence for this claim is lacking.
⁵ As we shall see below, however, distinctions between self, world, and God tend to collapse in Reiki thought. Some Reiki teachers explain that one eventually reaches the realization that the self and the "universal life energy" are one, "that we are universal life force and that everything is energy, including ourselves" (Libby Barnett and Maggie Chambers with Susan Davidson, *Reiki Energy Medicine: Bringing Healing Touch into Home, Hospital, and Hospice* [Rochester, Vt.: Healing Arts Press, 1996], p. 48; see also p. 102).
ceremony makes one "attuned" to the "universal life energy" and enables one to serve as a conduit for it. There are said to be three different levels of attunement (some teach that there are four). At the higher levels, one can allegedly channel Reiki energy and effect healings at a distance, without physical contact.

B) Reiki as a Natural Means of Healing

5. Although Reiki proponents seem to agree that Reiki does not represent a religion of its own, but a technique that may be utilized by people from many religious traditions, it does have several aspects of a religion. Reiki is frequently described as a "spiritual" kind of healing as opposed to the common medical procedures of healing using physical means. Much of the literature on Reiki is filled with references to God, the Goddess, the "divine healing power," and the "divine mind." The life force energy is described as being directed by God, the "Higher Intelligence," or the "divine consciousness." Likewise, the various "attunements" which the Reiki practitioner receives from a Reiki Master are accomplished through "sacred ceremonies" that involve the manifestation and contemplation of certain "sacred symbols" (which have traditionally been kept secret by Reiki Masters). Furthermore, Reiki is frequently described as a "way of living," with a list of five "Reiki Precepts" stipulating proper ethical conduct.

6. Nevertheless, there are some Reiki practitioners, primarily nurses, who attempt to approach Reiki simply as a natural means of healing. Viewed as natural means of healing, however, Reiki becomes subject to the standards of natural science. It is true that there may be means of natural healing that have not yet been understood or recognized by science. The basic criteria for judging whether or not one should entrust oneself to any particular natural means of healing, however, remain those of science.
7. Judged according to these standards, Reiki lacks scientific credibility. It has not been accepted by the scientific and medical communities as an effective therapy. Reputable scientific studies attesting to the efficacy of Reiki are lacking, as is a plausible scientific explanation as to how it could possibly be efficacious. The explanation of the efficacy of Reiki depends entirely on a particular view of the world as permeated by this "universal life energy" (Reiki) that is subject to manipulation by human thought and will. Reiki practitioners claim that their training allows one to channel the "universal life energy" that is present in all things. This "universal life energy," however, is unknown to natural science. As the presence of such energy has not been observed by means of natural science, the justification for these therapies necessarily must come from something other than science.

C) Reiki and the Healing Power of Christ

8. Some people have attempted to identify Reiki with the divine healing known to Christians. They are mistaken. The radical difference can be immediately seen in the fact that for the Reiki practitioner the healing power is at human disposal. Some teachers want to avoid this implication and argue that it is not the Reiki practitioner personally who effects the healing, but the Reiki energy directed by the divine consciousness. Nevertheless, the fact remains that for Christians the access to divine healing is by prayer to Christ as Lord and Savior, while the essence of Reiki is not a prayer but a technique that is passed down from the "Reiki Master" to the pupil, a technique that once mastered will reliably produce the anticipated results. Some practitioners attempt to Christianize Reiki by adding a prayer to Christ, but this does not affect

---


7 Reiki Masters offer courses of training with various levels of advancement, services for which the teachers require significant financial remuneration. The pupil has the expectation and the Reiki Master gives the assurance that one's investment of time and money will allow one to master a technique that will predictably produce results.
the essential nature of Reiki. For these reasons, Reiki and other similar therapeutic techniques cannot be identified with what Christians call healing by divine grace.

9. The difference between what Christians recognize as healing by divine grace and Reiki therapy is also evident in the basic terms used by Reiki proponents to describe what happens in Reiki therapy, particularly that of "universal life energy." Neither the Scriptures nor the Christian tradition as a whole speak of the natural world as based on "universal life energy" that is subject to manipulation by the natural human power of thought and will. In fact, this worldview has its origins in eastern religions and has a certain monist and pantheistic character, in that distinctions among self, world, and God tend to fall away. 8 We have already seen that Reiki practitioners are unable to differentiate clearly between divine healing power and power that is at human disposal.

III. CONCLUSION

10. Reiki therapy finds no support either in the findings of natural science or in Christian belief. For a Catholic to believe in Reiki therapy presents insoluble problems. In terms of caring for one's physical health or the physical health of others, to employ a technique that has no scientific support (or even plausibility) is generally not prudent.

11. In terms of caring for one's spiritual health, there are important dangers. To use Reiki one would have to accept at least in an implicit way central elements of the worldview that

---

8 While this seems implicit in Reiki teaching, some proponents state explicitly that there is ultimately no distinction between and the self and Reiki. "Alignment with your Self and being Reiki is an ongoing process. Willingness to continuously engage in this process furthers your evolution and can lead to the sustained recognition and ultimate experience that you are universal life force" (The Reiki Healing Connection [Libby Barnett, M.S.W.], http://reikienergy.com/classes.htm, accessed 2/6/2008 [emphasis in original]). Diane Stein summarizes the meaning of some of the "sacred symbols" used in Reiki attunements as: "The Goddess in me salutes the Goddess in you"; "Man and God becoming one" (Essential Reiki Teaching Manual: A Companion Guide for Reiki Healers [Berkeley, Cal.: Crossing Press, 2007], pp. 129-31). Anne Charlish and Angela Robertshaw explain that the highest Reiki attunement "marks a shift from the ego and self to a feeling of oneness with the universal life-force energy" (Secrets of Reiki [New York, N.Y.: DK Publishing, 2001], p. 84).
undergirds Reiki theory, elements that belong neither to Christian faith nor to natural science. Without justification either from Christian faith or natural science, however, a Catholic who puts his or her trust in Reiki would be operating in the realm of superstition, the no-man's-land that is neither faith nor science.\footnote{Some forms of Reiki teach of a need to appeal for the assistance of angelic beings or "Reiki spirit guides." This introduces the further danger of exposure to malevolent forces or powers.} Superstition corrupts one's worship of God by turning one's religious feeling and practice in a false direction.\footnote{See \textit{Catechism}, no. 2111; St. Thomas Aquinas, \textit{Summa theologiae} II-II, q. 92, a. 1.} While sometimes people fall into superstition through ignorance, it is the responsibility of all who teach in the name of the Church to eliminate such ignorance as much as possible.

12. Since Reiki therapy is not compatible with either Christian teaching or scientific evidence, it would be inappropriate for Catholic institutions, such as Catholic health care facilities and retreat centers, or persons representing the Church, such as Catholic chaplains, to promote or to provide support for Reiki therapy.
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Gender Dysphoria in Children

Gender dysphoria (GD) in children is a term used to describe a psychological condition in which a child experiences marked incongruence between his or her experienced gender and the gender associated with the child’s biological sex. Twin studies demonstrate that GD is not an innate trait. Moreover, barring pre-pubertal affirmation and hormone intervention for GD, 80 percent to 95 percent of children with GD will accept the reality of their biological sex by late adolescence.

The treatment of GD in childhood with hormones effectively amounts to mass experimentation on, and sterilization of, youth who are cognitively incapable of providing informed consent. There is a serious ethical problem with allowing irreversible, life-changing procedures to be performed on minors who are too young to give valid consent themselves and adolescents who cannot understand the magnitude of such decisions.

Ethics alone demands an end to the use of pubertal suppression with GnRH agonists, cross-sex hormones, and sex reassignment surgeries in children and adolescents. The American College of Pediatricians recommends an immediate cessation of these interventions, as well as an end to promoting gender ideology via school curricula and legislative policies. Healthcare, school curricula and legislation must remain anchored to physical reality. Scientific research should focus upon better understanding of the psychological underpinnings of this disorder, optimal family and individual therapies, as well as delineating the differences among children who resolve with watchful waiting versus those who resolve with therapy and those who persist despite therapy.

Dr. Michelle Cretella, Pres. American College
Pediatricians  Dr. Lester Ruppersberger, Past President
CMA
RESOURCES FOR PARENTS OF CHILDREN WITH GENDER DYSPHORIA

Advice to parents from a gender critical therapist:

As you seek out support, I invite you to do something incredibly difficult: try meeting your daughter or son where s/he is right NOW. Rather than clinging to the memory of who s/he was last year, last month, or even last week, get to know who s/he is becoming right now, even if it scares you - even if you desperately miss the more familiar child from your memories. Be patient, take it one day at a time, and remember that s/he is doing the best s/he can with the tools s/he has right now. By being open to your child's thoughts, ideas, and feelings, you will help validate your child as a person, without having to validate the trans identity.

An online support group for parents of Rapid-Onset Gender Dysphoria (ROGD) children: [https://www.parentsofrogdkids.com/](https://www.parentsofrogdkids.com/)

How to Find a Gender-Critical Therapist in your local area:

Due to the political climate, finding gender-critical therapists can be a challenge. Below are suggestions to help you in your search:

1. Avoid "Gender therapists" and "Gender clinics"

Consider searching for experts in body image & eating disorders, self-harm, trauma, anxiety disorders, women’s issues, sexual abuse & domestic violence. You know your teen best. If they’ve struggled with depression their whole life, seek out an expert in teen depression. If they have obsessive-compulsive tendencies, seek out an OCD expert.

Therapists who practice Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) focus on helping clients accept natural emotions, like pain and suffering, and live value-driven lives. Jungian analysts, psychodynamic and psychoanalytic therapists may take a more nuanced and symbolic approach to your child’s declaration, viewing it as an attempt to seek meaning and validation in their life, or as a psychological defense mechanism. Somatic therapies are particularly adept at addressing dissociation which is a disconnected relationship with the body.

Seek out specialists like these by entering "[Type of therapist] in [city]" into your search engine. For example, "Jungian Therapists in San Francisco."

2. Avoid / leave therapists who shame or blame your child. One therapist asked a 13 year old, "Don't you see how selfish you are behaving and how that is hurting your mother?" To the mother's credit, she sought out a new therapist.

A moralistic, shame and blame approach is not psychologically helpful. Instead, it teaches the girl that she is bad and there is something wrong with her. Her belief or desire to dissociate from her sex is a psychological reaction to either objective or perceived trauma (shame, blame, rejection, attachment loss, social contagion). She is not consciously choosing to feel this way to spite those around her.
Therapy should seek to uncover what psychological purpose the trans identity serves; what possible events and/or relationships may be contributing to it. Sometimes parents' well-intended behaviors may have contributed to the daughter's gender dysphoria. Some teen girls subconsciously reject their sex because they cannot live according to socially imposed sex stereotypes. Barring rare cases of objective abuse, therapists should not "take sides". Good therapists will help parents and children better understand themselves and each other, improving family communication and family connectedness in the process.

3. Interview your potential therapist. Ask them if they believe trans identities are innate, fixed, and treatable only with medical intervention. If they do, avoid them. Ask them directly about how they help children in your child's situation. Therapists are ethically obligated to be transparent when describing their therapeutic methods. If a therapist ever pressures you with the claim that your child must "transition or else become a suicide statistic" leave with your child.

*** If you fail to find a local therapist you may reach out to these:

The therapists below are Christian and/or espouse conservative values. They have expertise in helping youth with sexual identity & gender identity issues. Some also provide therapy by Skype if you are unable to locate a local gender critical therapist. You can find more information about these therapists here https://www.acpeds.org/find-a-therapist

- David Pickup, M.A.
  - (888) 288 - 2071
  - davidpickuplmft@gmail.com

- Alliance for Therapeutic Choice
  - (888) 364 - 4744
  - Contactus@therapeuticchoice.com

- Thomas Aquinas Psychological Clinic
  - tapcl@earthlink.net
  - http://www.josephnicolosi.com/contact/

- Marc Dillworth, Ph.D
  - (941) 794 - 1009

- Christopher Doyle, M.A., L.C.P.C
  - (703) 367 - 0894
The therapists below have expertise in helping girls who struggle with gender dysphoria. They are left-leaning gender critical therapists who do not espouse traditional Christian beliefs.

Sasha Ayad is a Licensed Professional Counselor (LPC) who works with children between the ages of 11 and 18.  
I’ve spent the last several years doing research on gender identity, gender dysphoria, and medical transition I’ve worked with teens and adults who have lived through challenging experiences around these issues. I’ve developed a unique approach to helping kids who struggle with their gender: I use non-judgmental, compassionate, dialogue that focuses on exploration rather than immediately seeking to affirm and transition your child.

Kaitlin Staples, MA, ATR-BC, LPC, is a registered board certified art therapist and licensed professional counselor in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania working with tweens, teens, and adults.

I have many years of experience working with addictions, eating disorders, relational trauma, family dysfunction, depression, and anxiety. I am an active member of the eating disorder and body image communities. In the eating disorder community, we have recognized for some decades now that the nature of body image issues and eating disorders stem from a symbolic connection to the individual’s internal world. I have been working with tweens and teens in agency settings for a few years now, and as I have listened to more and more stories of ROGD and trans teens I have recognized so many common threads to that of eating disorders and
body dysphoria in general. These threads have led me to further working with this population.

In my private practice, I now work with teens/tweens and their parents to help repair relationship ruptures and create space for a symbolic understanding of all that is happening internally and externally. My approach aims to make sure all involved in the process are seen, heard, and understood. Our process will explore all angles with an organic and fluid approach leaving no stone unturned. I help to create space and time to make informed and conscious decisions. Through artwork, dreamwork, and traditional verbal psychotherapy we will explore beneath the surface of the individual to uncover the roots of dysphoria. While I of course support the LGBTQ community, I recognize that there is a recent epidemic of “social contagion” within the adolescent community and we need to proceed with caution and care to avoid permanent damage to those seeking transition.

Kaitlin offers both in-person and virtual therapy to patients in Pennsylvania and beyond.

**Anne Rettenberg, LCSW** is an Licensed Clinical Social Work psychotherapist in Manhattan who works with adults, including parents. She is trained in family and couples counseling.

Anne finished her MSW at New York University in 1991 and worked in mental health clinics and substance abuse treatment facilities prior to starting private practice. She is also a consultant to a state agency. Anne has been in private practice since 2001.

"My perspective comes from psychodynamic and family systems theories, and from feminist theory. I’m opposed to unnecessary medical procedures. I am a humanist as well as a feminist, and I believe in compassion for the body and in taking a holistic approach to healthcare."  

Anne conducts in-person individual, couple and family sessions.
Comprehensive Sex Education Programs versus Abstinence Programs

All the comprehensive sex education programs come from one of three sources: SIECUS, Planned Parenthood or Advocates for Youth. These programs desensitize children to sexual behavior, encourage them to explore their sexuality as something "natural" and groom them for sexual abuse from online predators, other adults or their peers. These programs teach children to question and abandon the values taught at home and direct them to websites and social media sites that encourage promiscuity, sexual experimentation and fringe behaviors. These sites are frankly pornographic. Children no longer respect themselves or others. The American College of Pediatrists say these programs are a dangerous assault on the health and innocence of children.

These programs give lip service to abstinence or waiting until the child is "ready" and they contain activities that treat sexual behavior as a "good" as long as you both consent - even minor children - and use condoms and other contraceptives. The use of condoms is demonstrated in the classroom. These programs encourage children to reject their parents' values and to seek contraception without parents' knowledge. Under the Affordable Care Act of 2010 this education includes children as young as ten years of age. Only the advantages of all the contraceptives, including implants, Depo Provera injections, intrauterine devices and oral birth control pills are presented. Side effects such as an increased risk of breast, liver and cervical cancer; an increased risk of blood clots causing strokes or pulmonary emboli; and other serious side effects such as depression are not mentioned. Children are taught in a positive way to engage in masturbation, oral and anal sex. Having multiple partners is fine. Gender is fluid and is something you can choose. What is the first thing that is said when a baby is born? It's a boy or it's a girl! Abortion is presented as safe and legal in dealing with an "unwanted", "unplanned pregnancy". This video describes what would be covered with young people: https://www.breitbart.com/politics/2018/11/14/watch-planned-parenthood-sex-ed-video-sparks-parent-outrage/. Don't watch with children nearby!

Sexual behavior in children and teens has consequences - emotional, physical and spiritual - especially for girls. This is seen on a daily basis in pediatric, gynecological and family practice offices. More than 2 million cases of chlamydia, gonorrhea and syphilis were reported to the CDC in 2016 - the highest number ever. However, there are many cases that go unreported. The numbers of STDs have increased every year for the past three years. The long-term consequences for girls include chronic pain and infertility. There are other STDs such as human papillomavirus and herpes that do not get reported but have serious consequences, especially for women and their newborns. What is the only sure way to prevent an STD? Abstinence! What is the best way to prevent an unplanned, out of wedlock pregnancy? Abstinence!
Are abstinence programs effective at promoting delaying sexual activity? The answer is yes. Even if the child has already started engaging in sexual behavior, these programs give the tools to revert to chaste living. In the Atlanta metro area, Gwinnett County Public Schools, the school system with the largest number of children in the state of Georgia, currently uses an abstinence program called *Choosing the Best*. Since it was implemented 16 years ago, Gwinnett has seen a decline of 69% in the teen pregnancy rate.

Other counties in Georgia, including DeKalb County Public Schools which is also in metro Atlanta, use the comprehensive sex ed program *Family Life and Sexual Health* (FLASH). The counties with FLASH have 2.5 to 7 times the rates of STDs in teens compared to Gwinnett County.

These three graphs show the decline in pregnancy rates over time in Gwinnett County, compares the teen pregnancy rates of Gwinnett and DeKalb Counties, and compares Gwinnett’s teen STD incidences with the other Georgia counties that use the comprehensive sex ed program FLASH:
Parents need to be vigilant to prevent these programs from becoming part of their child's curriculum, attempt to remove them if they are already there and substitute abstinence programs such as *Choosing the Best* or *TeenStar*. If comprehensive sex ed programs are in your child's school, exempt your child from these classes and work to get them out of your county and state.

**Further reading:**


**Some videos:**

Go to [https://canavox.com/dear-katy/](https://canavox.com/dear-katy/) and scroll to watch the following:

**Evaluating Sex Ed Curricula:**

**Tough Conversations with Kids:**

**Teens Coming Out as Bisexual**

**Resources to help you talk to your preteen and teen**

*IMPORTANT: Please preview all resources before sharing them with your child so you can discern if your child is ready for them! Those that are Catholic are listed as such.*

**Beyond the Birds and Bees** by Greg and Lisa Popcak  A Catholic book for parents to help them understand Church teaching and how to talk to their children about the facts of life from a Catholic perspective.

**Raising Pure Teens: 10 strategies to protect or restore your teenager’s innocence**

By Jason Evert and Chris Stephanik  (Catholic book for parents)

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EDoClfEivOQ](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EDoClfEivOQ)  3 minute video explaining book

**Theology of His/Her Body** by Jason Evert  Written for high school teens, this reversible book may help lead them to living a chaste and pure life according to the teachings of the Catholic Church. Filled with examples and metaphors, young men and women both are sure to enjoy.

**Made This Way: How to Prepare Kids to Face Today’s Tough Moral Issues** by Leila Miller & Trent Horn  Catholic teaching and how to talk to both young children and teens  (2018)
The Parent Big Talk Book, by Choosing the Best Sexual Risk Avoidance program  Helps parents talk to kids on 10 topics regarding sex, relationships, setting goals and abstaining from sex (This book is put out by the same sex education program currently used in Gwinnett County Public Schools) Easy to read and very practical  
http://choosingthebest.com/parent-resources

Collier Community Abstinence Program:  4 workbooks that can be used by parents and teens together. Excellently done and suitable for middle and high school. Also, perfect for parents who want to opt their children out of unsuitable sex education programs, and want to make sure their children get a healthy sex education program and understand the abstinence message. The workbooks are free, but please consider making a donation. Approved by Catholic Bishop Dewane of the Diocese of Venice in Florida  
http://www.projectccap.org/


Cana Vox online videos  Excellent 3 minute online videos for parents that deal with a wide range of difficult issues that parents often have to discuss with their children. Very concise and practical and free of charge!  
https://canavox.com/dear-katy/

CanaVox Tips for Talking to Your Child about Sex  -- concise, practical, free download  

Sex, Gender and Identity 38 minute video by Cana Vox  
https://canavox.com/  scroll down to watch video by Dr. Ana Samuel

PUREly YOU!: Growing God’s Way – Partnering with parents to help children understand growing up. Both Catholic English and Spanish  (includes puberty)  
https://purelyyou.org

Alive to the World is a continuous, story-based virtues/values program. The program is aimed at all children, religious or not, from Kindergarten to Grade 12. The program has already been adopted by teachers of many faith backgrounds in 22 countries.
The Psychology and Neurobiology of Pornography

The use of pornography in the world today has been escalating. In large part, this is due to the introduction of the internet, which allows relatively unrestricted private access to pornography for many, including children and adolescents. Recent statistics have shown the presence of millions of pornographic web sites, including child pornography sites, in an ever-growing industry of nearly 100 billion dollars.

Pornography has been the source of much shame, secrecy, infidelity, divorce, and frequent mental health issues for individuals and families. It is known for its 4 A’s: Accessibility, Affordability, Anonymity and Aggressiveness.

Despite its gravity, both in terms of sin and psychologic impact, there is a continued “desensitization” which has occurred in our society, to the point where “soft porn” and immodesty are seen often and are thus assumed to be normal. Many persons are struggling with attempts to “cut down” or stop their use of pornography without success. Although not formally identified in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) yet as an addiction, it has become clear to many clinicians and researchers that the use of pornography can lead to destructive symptoms consistent with those of a severe addiction. One of these findings is that of “tolerance” which means that increasingly greater amounts of a substance, or in this case, pornography in increasing levels of depravity may be necessary to produce the same results. While the various causes of pornography addiction may be unique to each individual (as regards to one’s upbringing, vulnerabilities, and often early exposure), the symptoms of the compulsion are similar. “Withdrawal” symptoms of anxiety and agitation have been described as well.

There has been much evidence to suggest that neurobiochemical changes also occur in the brains of those utilizing pornography which are similar to those using illicit substances. Dopamine, oxytocin, and serotonin are three such biochemicals which normally help with bonding, experience of pleasure, and overall mood stability. These appear to be altered in those who view pornography excessively and lead to an actual type of tragic “bonding” or imprinting to the pornographic material. The natural bonding that should occur within the sacred intimacy of marriage is “rewired” and disrupted, both biochemically and psychologically. Such complex interactions and imprinting may lead to a sense of despair for the user and the family, given the significant difficulties that can be encountered when considering recovery.

However, there is much hope. Recovery usually will require an integrated approach to healing, including spiritual, psychologic, and physical dimensions. We as Catholics, are particularly blessed with the gift of our Sacraments, especially the Eucharist, Sacrament of Reconciliation, and Sacrament of the Sick. The United States Conference of Catholic Bishops has taken this epidemic seriously. There are increased resources and therapeutic modalities which have shown effectiveness, a number of which are Catholic in their approach. In addition, due to our Catholic understanding of demonic temptation (which can be especially fierce in this particular addiction), programs which include deliverance prayers, including models such as “Unbound” by Neil Lozano, have led to deep healing for many.
Additional Resources for the Laity

“Reclaim Sexual Health”: A science-based, Catholic online recovery program and other resources for those who desire to reclaim God’s plan for their lives and the lives of loved ones impacted by pornography or other unhealthy sexual behaviors. Incorporates education, a cognitive behavioral model of exercises and personal/professional support. Has been used by more than 8,000 individuals in over 80 countries. Founded in part by Elizabeth Ministry International and is under the guidance and direction of Bishop Ricken of the Diocese of Green Bay.  
https://reclaimsexualhealth.com/

“Integrity Restored”: Helps restore the integrity of individuals, spouses, and families that have been affected by pornography and pornography addiction. Provides education, training, encouragement, and resources to break free from pornography, heal relationships, and to assist parents in preventing and responding to pornography exposure.  
http://integrityrestored.com/

“Integrity Starts Here” by Dr. Peter Kleponis: Designed to help men and women, their spouses, and their families break free from the bonds of pornography. Provides clear information on pornography use and addiction, pornography’s effects on people’s lives, and how to get help.  
http://peterkleponis.com/

Covenant Eyes: An online website which helps with blocking sites as well as accountability.  
http://www.covenanteyes.com/

“Unbound” and “Heart of the Father Ministries” by Neil Lozano: a Biblically-based listening, loving, prayer ministry open to the healing, deliverance, power, and guidance of Jesus Christ and the Holy Spirit. Empowers people to reclaim their true identity in Christ. Provides books, audio, visuals, and training materials for those seeking to learn about Unbound or grow in their ministry.  

“Help for Men and Women Struggling with Pornography Use or Addiction” at For Your Marriage (USCCB website): This list provides information about ministries, support groups, and resources for men and women who are looking for support to overcome pornography use and addiction, parents who want to help their children avoid pornography, filtering services to block pornography on Internet-enabled devices, a list of recommended books, and more.  
http://www.foryourmarriage.org/help-for-men-and-women-struggling-with-pornography-use-or-addiction/

Bishop Paul Loverde (Arlington): "Bought with a Price: Every Man’s Duty to Protect Himself and His Family from a Pornographic Culture” 2014 pastoral letter: includes resources for men, women and parents  
Overcoming Pornography Addiction: A Spiritual Solution (book), By Monsignor J. Brian Bransfield: Presents the struggle of internet pornography in the context of the encounter of Jesus with the Woman of Samaria, emphasizing the practical way in which the teaching of the Church can move us from sin to grace, from pain to healing, through an honest appraisal of the pain of internet pornography and the wonderful beauty of grace and virtue. https://www.amazon.com/Overcoming-Pornography-Addiction-Spiritual-Solution/dp/0809147971

“Create a Clean Heart” by the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops (USCCB): At their November 2015 General Assembly, the U.S. bishops approved this formal statement "Create in Me a Clean Heart: A Pastoral Response to Pornography." Numerous other links, pamphlets, and resources are also available at this link: http://www.usccb.org/issues-and-action/human-life-and-dignity/pornography/index.cfm

“Institute for Media Education,” by Judith Reisman, Ph.D.: As a researcher & author, historian & teacher, Judith Reisman has focused on pornography as a pandemic, addicting men, women and children and upon exposing Dr. Alfred C. Kinsey's fraudulent sex science research and education. Many useful articles and resources are on this site. http://drjudithreisman.com/
Ordinary and Extraordinary Medical Care

“Life and physical health are precious gifts entrusted to us by God. We must take reasonable care of them, taking into account the needs of others and the common good.”

*Catechism of the Catholic Church,* n. 2288.

The truth that life is a precious gift from God has profound implications for the question of stewardship over human life. We are not the owners of our lives and, hence, do not have absolute power over life. We have a duty to preserve our life and to use it for the glory of God, but the duty to preserve life is not absolute.¹

The duty to preserve God’s gift of human life has lead the Church throughout history to consider what means of care are required to uphold this moral obligation.

In 1595 the Dominican theologian Domingo Banez made a distinction that has become classic in medical ethics: between ordinary and extraordinary means. … [Banez said,] ‘Although a man is held to conserve his own life, he is not bound to extraordinary means but to common food…, to common medicines, to a certain common ordinary pain: not, however, to a certain extraordinary and horrible pain, nor to expenses which are extraordinary.’²

Four centuries later in 1957 Pope Pius XII gave magisterial expression to the distinction between ordinary and extraordinary means in an address to Catholic physicians:

Normally one is held to use ordinary means – according to the circumstances of persons, places times, and culture – that is to say, means that do not involve any grave burden for oneself or another…Life, health, all temporal activities are in fact subordinated to spiritual ends. On the other hand, one is not forbidden to take more than the strictly necessary steps to preserve life and health, as long as one does not fail in some more serious duty.³

In May 1980, the Sacred Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith issued its *Declaration on Euthanasia,* and while upholding the well established theological and magisterial teaching on ordinary and extraordinary means, a new set of terms (proportionate and disproportionate means) was introduced, further clarifying the practical application of the moral principles:

In the past, moralists replied that one is never obliged to use ‘extraordinary’ means. This reply, which as a principle still holds good, is perhaps less clear today, by reason of the imprecision of the term and the rapid progress made in the treatment of sickness. Thus some people prefer to speak of ‘proportionate’ and ‘disproportionate’ means.⁴

---

² National Certification Program in Health Care Ethics, National Catholic Bioethics Center, Rev. Russell Smith, Module Reading on “Ordinary and Extraordinary Means.”
³ L’Osservatore Romano, November 25-26, 1957. Pope Pius XII, “Address to an International Congress of Anesthesiologists.”
Proportionate means are those offering a reasonable hope of benefit, while not imposing too great a burden. Disproportionate means would be those which impose risks or burdens that outweigh the expected benefits.

The judgment that a particular means is either proportionate or disproportionate must be made in light of the personal (including religious beliefs), familial, economic, and social circumstances of each individual patient. This means that an a priori list of treatments that would be classified as always and everywhere proportionate or disproportionate cannot be made.  

Finally, in 2009, the National Conference of Catholic Bishops updated its summary of the application of these principles in the Ethical and Religious Directives for Catholic Health Care # 56 – 59.  

The cultural environment in the United States at the beginning of the 21st century nearly deifies personal autonomy and “choice.” Therefore, it must be emphasized that a well-informed and truly Catholic moral decision regarding ordinary vs. extraordinary care requires the intimate cooperation of patient, family, physician, and Catholic priest.

### Additional Resources for the Laity

What is the Church’s Teaching on Extraordinary Care for the Sick?

Ordinary vs. Extraordinary Care (American Life League)
[http://www.all.org/nav/index/heading/OQ/cat/NDA/id/NzM0Mg/](http://www.all.org/nav/index/heading/OQ/cat/NDA/id/NzM0Mg/)

---

Assisted Nutrition and Hydration

“What about a feeding tube?” This question raises a hot button issue in medicine and ethics about which the Church has some helpful counsel to offer. Certain medical problems can make it impossible to eat or drink normally. In such cases assisted nutrition and hydration (ANH) can be life-saving and should be considered. In the short-term this can take the form of intravenous feedings. In the long-term it usually involves the use of a feeding tube, the most common type being the percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) tube, which came into common use in the 1980s. ANH is most often used in patients with cancer, advanced dementia, stroke, Parkinson’s Disease, Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis, and the minimally conscious state (or “Persistent Vegetative State”).

Prior to 1980 it was generally unthinkable to deny food and water to anyone. In the last thirty years, many have raised ethical questions about the use of ANH related to ordinary versus extraordinary care, euthanasia, the right to die, concerns about quality of life, and patient autonomy. ANH has been involved in several well-known legal cases including that of Karen Quinlan in 1985, Nancy Cruzan in 1990, and Terri Schiavo in 2005.

There has been a considerable divergence between mainstream secular approaches to ANH and that of the Catholic Church. If asked, most people would say that they never would want a feeding tube. Look at most living wills and you’ll find “No” to feeding tubes. Many physicians reject the use of ANH on utilitarian grounds for patients who are considered to have a poor quality of life especially in situations like advanced dementia or other neurologic conditions that impair cognitive function. Patients in need of ANH may be dehumanized and referred to as “gomers” or “vegetables.”1 The medical community considers ANH a medical act that can be refused and hence is never obligatory. Failure to provide ANH is now common practice in hospitals, nursing homes, and hospice programs and leads to the death of the patient due to dehydration. Failure to provide ANH is often coupled with the use of large doses of morphine and constitutes a form of slow euthanasia. Death by dehydration has become common practice.

Yet, Catholic moral teaching sees the issue of ANH from a different perspective, one grounded in the innate dignity of the human person and the belief that God, not man, is the master of life and death. We are but stewards and should use all ordinary means to preserve life. Nutrition and hydration are considered part of basic care to which everyone is entitled, even if it requires use of a feeding tube. ANH was the subject of important statements by Pope John Paul II in 20042 and by the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith in 2007, the latter stating:

The administration of food and water even by artificial means is, in principle, an ordinary and proportionate means of preserving life. It is therefore obligatory to the extent to which, and for as long as, it is shown to accomplish its proper finality, which is the hydration and nourishment of the patient. In this way suffering and death by starvation and dehydration are prevented.3

The Ethical and Religious Directives (ERDs) for Healthcare has this to say about ANH:

---

In principle, there is an obligation to provide patients with food and water, including medically assisted nutrition and hydration for those who cannot take food orally. This obligation extends to patients in chronic and presumably irreversible conditions (e.g., the ‘persistent vegetative state’) who can reasonably be expected to live indefinitely if given such care. Medically assisted nutrition and hydration become morally optional when they cannot reasonably be expected to prolong life or when they would be ‘excessively burdensome for the patient or (would) cause significant physical discomfort, for example, resulting from complications in the use of the means employed.’ For instance, as a patient draws close to inevitable death from an underlying progressive and fatal condition, certain measures to provide nutrition and hydration may become excessively burdensome and therefore not obligatory in light of their very limited ability to prolong life or provide comfort.4

Aren’t there some situations when ANH is not a good idea? As the CDF statement and the ERDs indicate, ANH is not appropriate if death is imminent, or if it is excessively burdensome, such as when a person develops complications from the feeding tube. There are also situations such as severe heart failure or multiple organ failure, in which ANH can’t “accomplish its proper finality,” meaning that it just doesn’t work and is not able to nourish or hydrate the patient.

Faced with a need for ANH, patients and family members often need considerable help in understanding the situation and coming to an appropriate decision. Patients will often get conflicting advice and reject ANH for fear of being a burden or because they want to hasten death. Patients and family members often react negatively to the idea of a feeding tube when in reality it is not the tube they fear but a long, lingering illness and death. Priests and chaplains may be asked to provide spiritual counsel in such situations and can be of great help dealing with such life and death issues. A multidisciplinary group has published guidelines for the use of ANH from a Catholic perspective. These guidelines can be of help to priests who are involved in discussing these issues with patients and families.5

The medical and ethical issues surrounding ANH can become complex, but there is also a simple way to look at the question.6 All of us know what it is like to suffer hunger and thirst. Food and water are essential for life. When a child come to us and says, “I’m hungry and I’m thirsty,” we instinctively know what to do. Recall the words of Jesus calling us to Christian charity: “For I was hungry and you gave me food, I was thirsty and you gave me drink” (Matt. 25:35).

Additional Resources for the Laity

Catholic Teaching on Assisted Nutrition and Hydration - By Father Thomas Berg
http://www.catholicnewsagency.com/column.php?n=1099

Concerning Artificial Nutrition and Hydration

Fr. Pavone Welcomes Vatican Statement on Nutrition and Hydration
The Catholic Living Will

The living will is one type of an advanced directive that allows patients to give instructions about medical treatments they desire to be administered or withheld at a future date. This declaration becomes active only when patients become incapacitated and cannot speak for themselves. This can result from a temporary or permanent medical condition. Ideally, a complementary directive called a healthcare proxy or durable power of attorney for healthcare should accompany the living will. This document assigns and allows surrogates to make decisions for patients when the patients are not able to make decisions for themselves.

A living will, to be consistent with Catholic teaching, needs to address five key principles: (1) the desire for pain relief, (2) assessing treatments as either ordinary or extraordinary, (3) providing nutrition and hydration, (4) prohibiting euthanasia, (5) providing for spiritual care.

Relieving Pain

Church teaching is very supportive of the goal of keeping patients as free of pain as possible so that they may die comfortably and with dignity. The Church also teaches about the redemptive nature and mystery of suffering. Saint John Paul II in his apostolic letter Salvifici doloris (On the Christian Meaning of Human Suffering) explains the “why” of suffering by looking at the ultimate source of the meaning of everything that exists, divine love. Times of suffering have a special place in God’s saving plan. Some patients may view the end of life as the last opportunity to unite their suffering with the suffering of Christ, and may wish to moderate their use of pain medication. Healthcare personnel should always explore the patient’s goals regarding pain management.

Assessing Treatments as either Ordinary or Extraordinary

The Church offers solid counsel in making end-of-life decisions. Patients or their surrogates need to be given adequate information regarding their care. There should be a clear understanding as to whether the proposed treatment will: (1) serve as a bridge to recovery from an acute medical problem, (2) alleviate discomfort and suffering from an on-going condition, or (3) offer little hope of benefit and may actually add burden to the patient’s care.

Making end-of-life medical decisions can be very challenging for physicians and the medical team caring for the patient. It can also be the most rewarding, learning their patients’ life stories and seeing Christ in them as they are being called home.

Providing Nutrition and Hydration

Making a request for the administration of food and water, even if given by artificial means, is a hallmark of Catholic moral teaching. It is generally not included in a secular living will. Saint John Paul II has clearly stated that the administration of food and water, even when provided by artificial means, always represents a natural means of preserving life, and not a medical act.

The secular medical community does not accept hydration and nutrition as an act of normal care. The scientific/secular approach considers life an instrumental good, a good for the person. According to that view, any standard therapeutic recommendation has to show a concrete, tangible improvement in quality or longevity of life. The Church, however, considers life a good of the person, focusing on the dignity of the human person made in the image and
likeness of God, and making recommendations based on the sanctity of human life. The benefits to the patient derived from the approach of the Catholic Church would not necessarily be discernible or recognized by the secular medical community.

The Catholic position starts from the presumption in favor of hydration and nutrition, until it is no longer useful or becomes burdensome. The secular medical community starts from the presumption against hydration and nutrition, unless there are statistical, reproducible, and tangible benefits to support its use. It is not surprising, therefore, that this will be an on-going area of controversy and conflict. It should be made clear, however, that the Church does understand that there are times when hydration and nutrition may no longer be helpful and could be discontinued. For example, if death is imminent, or when artificial hydration and nutrition can’t “accomplish its proper finality,” meaning that it just doesn’t work and is not able to nourish or hydrate the patient, such as when a patient has multiple organ failure.

Prohibiting Euthanasia

The immorality of euthanasia can be understood by natural moral law and predates Christianity. Hippocrates prohibited euthanasia in his original oath when he stated “I will not give a lethal drug to anyone if I am asked, nor will I advise such a plan.” Saint John Paul II has stated that euthanasia is a false mercy and indeed a perversion of mercy. There are two components of euthanasia, the act itself and the intention, which is to cause death. Both components are necessary for an act to be considered euthanasia. Combating the growing trend of legalized physician-assisted suicide will be an ongoing challenge for the Church.

Providing for Spiritual Care

Our faith in the resurrection and eternal life are strengthened through the sacraments. The sacraments of Baptism, Confirmation, and the Eucharist are the sacraments of Christian initiation. In the same way, the sacraments of Penance and Anointing of the Sick, and the administration of the Eucharist as Viaticum, complete the earthly pilgrimage. Priests have an invaluable role in providing optimal end-of-life care for the faithful.

Conclusion

The Magisterium has put forth valid teachings that are grounded in faith and supported by reason. A Catholic living will that addresses the five principles outlined will avoid the shortcomings of secular living wills that deny patients proper end-of-life care. The Catholic Church will always guide our earthly life, as well as our journey from death to eternal life in Christ.

The above is a synopsis from:

Additional Resources

Advanced Directive: Protective Medical Decisions Document, by Rita Marker, JD, Patients Rights Council:
http://www.patientsrightscouncil.org/site/advance-directive-protective-medical-decisions-document/

Understanding the Catholic Living Will; Health Care Surrogate:
http://flaccb.org/declaration-on-life-and-death

National Right to Life, Will to Live Document:
http://www.nrlc.org/medethics/willtolive/
**POLST: Life Sustaining or Life Ending?**

**What is POLST?**

POLST (Physician Orders for Life-Sustaining Treatment): A medical directive form intended to lock in restrictions on life sustaining treatments. The innovation of POLST is not that patients may choose to receive such treatments – sustaining life has long been the standard in medicine. Rather POLST proposes a new option: in advance, patients may limit or reject life-sustaining treatments, with choices locked in as orders to be followed for future medical situations that may occur. When POLST orders are written that withhold life-sustaining treatments, a patient needing such treatment is expected to die as a result of these orders.

Note: In some locations, POLST is identified by other acronyms – POST, MOLST, MOST, etc.

POLST is rigid and inflexible:

– The POLST form contains checkbox choices to indicate whether, at any time in the future, the patient can receive treatments such as cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), antibiotics, tube feedings, hospital admission, or simply “comfort care” (generally excluding all of the above). With such checkbox options, treatments may or may not be unduly burdensome; however, it is entirely possible that without these treatments, the patient may die. POLST contains no explanation for why any limitations were chosen. POLST orders dictate what caregivers are allowed to provide, circumventing further discussions with patient or the family of what a patient would want as new situations evolve. Thus while patients and family may assume the POLST plays an advisory role only for future situations such as terminal illness or persistent unconsciousness, POLST is a current order now and from this point forward, and no further discussion may be required or even encouraged.

– POLST forms are immediately recognizable (often printed on brightly colored, thick paper and placed in front of the patient’s chart). POLST always accompanies the patient during transfers and the orders are expected to be followed by all health care providers and EMTs (emergency medical technicians), no matter the reason for choices made, or personal beliefs of involved caregivers. Some states require that POLST orders written at one facility be followed even at distant sites where the original physician’s signature is unknown.

– In some locations, the form contains statements that discourage health care providers from raising questions. (Example: “FIRST follow these orders, THEN contact the patient’s provider” - emphasis original, from one Minnesota POLST form).

– POLST orders may override advance directives, and POLST forms may contain statements that discourage reconciling POLST orders with existing advance directives. (Example: “This is a Physician Order Sheet…It summarizes any Advance Directive”. Source: one Wisconsin POLST form).
This rigidity of POLST can be expected to force nurses and other healthcare professionals to obey them, who otherwise might wish to provide treatment. In the end, this may create negative attitudes toward worthiness of treatment for the elderly and disabled.

**What is the POLST Paradigm?**

The “National POLST Paradigm” is

an approach to end-of-life planning based on conversations between patients, loved ones, and health care professionals designed to ensure that seriously ill or frail patients can choose the treatments they want or do not want and that their wishes are documented and honored.”

Frequently within POLST circles, the following statement directs the POLST focus: “It’s not about the documents! It’s about the conversation.” However, some items that need to be considered are:

a) Who are the “health care professionals” hosting conversations? What is their training? Who employs them?
b) Within POLST conversations, how are decisions made regarding life-sustaining treatments?
c) How are POLST forms completed after conversations and subsequently activated?
d) Which patients may be candidates for POLST?

**“Health Care Professionals” Initiate POLST Conversations**

Every well-established POLST program utilizes non-physicians to provide most of the patient counseling and preparation of POLST forms, and then they submit them to doctors for signature. These non-physicians are titled “facilitators.” They may be social workers, nurses, chaplains, ward clerks, nursing home staff, etc. — they need no previous health care training or experience. Facilitator certification is through programs instituted by Respecting Choices, located at the Gundersen Clinic of La Crosse, Wisconsin, and consists of six hours of online and eight hours of classroom training. Of course, fourteen hours pales against years of training normally expected for health care professionals. Facilitators are usually employed by nursing homes and other health care institutions where they facilitate POLST conversations with patients. (At some nursing homes, residents were frequently told, erroneously, that a POLST was mandatory, regardless of their health condition.) Upon receipt of a completed POLST from a facilitator, a physician is expected to verify the choices made and sign off on the orders.

**What Are The Criteria for POLST Decision-Making that Facilitators Utilize with Patients?**

---

Facilitator manuals and materials appear negatively-biased regarding various life-sustaining treatments, focusing more on potential discomfort and invasiveness of treatments, rather than the possibility of positive outcomes from short-term courses of treatment. They detail numerous possible problems and side effects of treatment — yet leave out that, with proper care, these problems may be mitigated or avoided. Furthermore, the downsides of refusing treatments are minimized, such as death or medical complications for patients who survive non-treatment.

POLST conversations with patients often begin by discussing the topic of “living well,” asking the patient specifically what makes life “worth living” — which might be golf, good books, self-sufficiency, etc. Such “quality of life” discussions may lead the facilitator or patient to conclude that future life-sustaining treatments should be rejected in the event that health takes a serious turn for the worse and the patient may not be able to enjoy those good things.

**How Are POLST Forms Completed and Activated?**

After hosting the POLST conversation, a facilitator checks off specific orders and sends the POLST form to the doctor for signature, to activate the orders. Some states allow signing by a nurse practitioner or physician assistant. In various states, the patient’s signature is not required, but “recommended.” Nonetheless, a recent paper showed that where patient’s signature was not required, 95% did not have it. Thus whether the patient even knew of their form’s existence or the orders written was undocumented by the customary legal standard — a patient’s signature.

After a facilitator prepares POLST orders, doctors are expected to sign. In some locations medical institutions track signature compliance through the electronic medical record and doctors are financially rewarded or docked based on their compliance.

The facilitator paradigm conflicts with the usual ethical and legal standard of proper decision-making in medicine — physician-informed consent, in which the doctor provides complete information to the patient, ensuring the patient’s decision is well-informed.

While doctors may feel they were compelled to cooperate with this looser standard of critical decision making — call it “facilitator-informed consent” — and thus may feel less responsible, all other parties view POLST as signed doctor’s orders and agree that the doctor assumes full responsibility under POLST.

---

The potential for financial benefits for health care institutions who initiate the POLST Paradigm may pose an obvious conflict of interest. New models of care are increasing incentives to move from physician-informed consent, to the use of POLST-type “facilitator conversations.” For example, Accountable Care Organizations (organizations which participate in the Medicare program) are allowed to share in Medicare cost savings that might be realized by implementing the POLST paradigm.

**Which Patients May Be Candidates for POLST Orders?**

Some assume that POLST is utilized only at the end of life. Over time, POLST has been offered to more populations, increasing the likelihood that POLST may prematurely end lives. It was originally recommended that POLST should be used when a health care provider “would not be surprised if this patient died within the next year,” a rather inexact concept. Later, in various locations, this was changed to, “would not be surprised if this patient died within the next five years.” Further changes allowed the use of POLST if one “would not be surprised if the patient died or had a complication.” Some forms allowed the patient to define specific preferences for when to use POLST. In other words, literally anyone for any reason, including a desire to die, could use POLST. In some locations, POLST may be used for children and pregnant women, unlike restrictions governing documents such as living wills.

**The Catholic Approach to Health Care Decisions**

Catholics are not required to undergo any and all treatments, but must always seek to preserve life, using ordinary, proportionate measures (obvious examples are food, drink, warmth, and cleanliness). We may accept, but are not required to accept, disproportionate or “extraordinary” care — treatment that is unduly burdensome compared to the expected benefit, treatment that would cause disproportionate suffering with little hope of success. A moral decision may be made to refuse extraordinary treatment, but it is the disproportionate burdens of treatment that are rejected. It is not the burdens of a “poor quality of life” that are rejected. Rejection of life constitutes euthanasia, a grave sin against God.

The ultimate moral analysis must carefully consider the specific medical condition, treatment options, and surrounding circumstances. Of course, all of these facts are only apparent at the actual time of illness and cannot be known in advance. Thus, advance decisions such as POLST orders restricting treatment are morally problematic.

The fallacy of POLST is that advance decisions are the best decisions. Practically speaking, such decisions are primarily focused on burdens of the treatment and even of life itself. Advance decisions suffer from a lack of context of how beneficial a treatment might be in unforeseen future situations, when treatment would be reasonably considered.

The Wisconsin Catholic Bishops have found:

A POLST form presents options for treatments as if they were morally neutral. In fact, they are not. Because we cannot predict the future, it is difficult to determine in advance whether specific medical treatments, from an ethical perspective, are absolutely necessary or optional. These decisions depend upon factors such as the benefits, expected outcomes, and the risks or burdens of the treatment. A POLST oversimplifies these decisions and bears the real risk that an indication may be made on it to withhold a treatment that, in particular circumstances, might be
an act of euthanasia. Despite the possible benefits of these documents, this risk is too grave to be acceptable.12

It is perhaps not surprising that POLST is endorsed by pro-euthanasia organizations such as Compassion and Choices.

**POLST and the Doctor-Patient Relationship**

The use of POLST facilitators isolates patients from their doctors at critical times of informing and decision-making, a form of patient abandonment and a poor substitute for informed consent. Furthermore, preexisting POLST restrictions in care mean that a future need to contact physicians is reduced, again isolating patients from their doctors at times of medical need. There are specific medical situations when the doctor’s presence and involvement are essential, to assist in informed decision-making and for compassionate support of the patient and family.

Catholic physicians have the unique opportunity and solemn obligation to defend their patients and profession. We recommend against the use of POLST; we advise against the signing of orders that others have written; and we argue for postponement of decisions until the actual moment of medical need. The safest model for advance medical documentation is the appointing of a person, such as a Healthcare Power of Attorney, to make decisions in-the-moment, when the patient cannot.

**Additional Resources for the Laity**

Physician's Order for Life-Sustaining Treatment: Helpful or a New Threat?:
http://www.ncregister.com/daily-news/physicians-order-for-life-sustaining-treatment-helpful-or-a-new-threat

Legalizing Euthanasia by Omission:

POLST Forms Seen as Threatening Dignity of Patients (Diocese of Green Bay):

POLST and MOLST: Are You Signing Your Life Away? [Video]:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1uv7vY7APk or http://www.cathmed.org/programs-resources/health-care-policy/polst/

---